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Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: Bonney Lake Water Department  PWS ID: 07650H
Planning/Engineering Document Title: Water System Plan  Plan Date: June 2019
Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: City of Bonney Lake

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Government Consistency Statement</th>
<th>Identify the page(s) in submittal</th>
<th>For use by water system</th>
<th>For use by local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted land use and zoning within the service area.</td>
<td>Chapter 3  Pgs. 3-3 to 3-5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) The growth projection used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.</td>
<td>Chapter 4  Pgs. 4-25 to 4-27</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) For cities and towns that provide water service: All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant utility service extension ordinances.</td>
<td>Chapter 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Service area policies for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.</td>
<td>Chapter 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Other relevant elements related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.</td>
<td>Chapter 3  Pgs. 3-1 to 3-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

[Signature]
Ryan Johnstone, Int. Public Services Dir., City of Bonney Lake

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction
Consistency Review Guidance

For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For water system plans (WSP), a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right’s place of use.

For small water system management programs, a consistency review is only required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right’s place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For engineering documents, a consistency review is required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right’s place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

A) Documenting Consistency: The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.

a) A copy of the adopted land use/zoning map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.

b) A copy of the growth projections that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.

c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the utility service extension ordinances within the city or town boundaries. This applies to cities and towns only.

d) All service area policies for how new water service will be provided to new customers.

e) Other relevant elements the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency – Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.

B) Documenting an Inconsistency: Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.

C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency: Where the local government with jurisdiction did not provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.

The Department of Health is an equal opportunity agency. For persons with disabilities, this document is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 1-800-525-0127 (TTY 1-800-833-6388).
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Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name: Bonney Lake Water Department  PWS ID: 07650H
Planning/Engineering Document Title: Water System Plan  Plan Date: June 2019

Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review: City of Auburn

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Government Consistency Statement</th>
<th>For use by water system</th>
<th>For use by local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted land use and zoning within the service area.</td>
<td>Chapter 3  Pgs. 3-3 to 3-5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) The growth projection used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county's population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.</td>
<td>Chapter 4  Pgs. 4-25 to 4-27</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) For cities and towns that provide water service: All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant utility service extension ordinances.</td>
<td>Chapter 5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Service area policies for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.</td>
<td>Chapter 5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Other relevant elements related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.</td>
<td>Chapter 3  Pgs. 3-1 to 3-3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

[signature]

Date

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction

City of Auburn
Consistency Review Guidance

For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For water system plans (WSP), a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right’s place of use.

For small water system management programs, a consistency review is only required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right’s place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For engineering documents, a consistency review is required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right’s place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(iii)).

A) Documenting Consistency: The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.

a) A copy of the adopted land use/zoning map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.

b) A copy of the growth projections that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.

c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the utility service extension ordinances within the city or town boundaries. This applies to cities and towns only.

d) All service area policies for how new water service will be provided to new customers.

e) Other relevant elements the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency – Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.

B) Documenting an Inconsistency: Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.

C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency: Where the local government with jurisdiction did not provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.

The Department of Health is an equal opportunity agency. For persons with disabilities, this document is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 1-800-525-0127 (TTY 1-800-833-6388).
Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name:  Bonney Lake Water Department  PWS ID:  07650H
Planning/Engineering Document Title:  Water System Plan  Plan Date:  June 2019
Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review:  City of Sumner

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Government Consistency Statement</th>
<th>Identify the page(s) in submittal</th>
<th>Yes or Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted land use and zoning within the service area.</td>
<td>Chapter 3 Pgs. 3-3 to 3-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) The growth projection used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county’s population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.</td>
<td>Chapter 4 Pgs. 4-25 to 4-27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) For cities and towns that provide water service: All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant utility service extension ordinances.</td>
<td>Chapter 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Service area policies for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.</td>
<td>Chapter 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Other relevant elements related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.</td>
<td>Chapter 3 Pgs. 3-1 to 3-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

Response Received

See attached email correspondence.

Signature

Date

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction
Consistency Review Guidance

For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For water system plans (WSP), a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right’s place of use.

For small water system management programs, a consistency review is only required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right’s place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For engineering documents, a consistency review is required for areas where a municipal water supplier wants to expand its water right’s place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

A) Documenting Consistency: The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.

a) A copy of the adopted land use/zoning map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.

b) A copy of the growth projections that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.

c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the utility service extension ordinances within the city or town boundaries. This applies to cities and towns only.

d) All service area policies for how new water service will be provided to new customers.

e) Other relevant elements the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency – Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.

B) Documenting an Inconsistency: Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.

C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency: Where the local government with jurisdiction did not provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.

The Department of Health is an equal opportunity agency. For persons with disabilities, this document is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 1-800-525-0127 (TTY 1-800-833-6388).
Virginia,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on your water system plan.

In looking at the service area boundary, I noticed three issues to discuss regarding the boundaries between our plans. I have identified the three locations on a mark-up of your map available through the link below.

First, the area around Forest Canyon Road and East Valley Highway is served by the Log Cabin Water System which is a Class A water system that would technically adjoin your water service boundary.

Second, the area surrounding Corliss Resources appears in your plan as depicted in BL Ordinance 1281 passed 7/8/2008. However, I do not have any corresponding ordinance from the City of Sumner establishing this boundary. Is there anything additional you might be able to provide regarding the process of establishing the boundary in this area. I have asked our City Clerk to investigate any records we might have on our end.

Third, PC Parcels 0520326000, and 0520326001 appear to have a small portion of land in Bonney Lake’s service area. Sumner’s WSP shows the entire parcels within our service area. We concur the eastern most parts of these parcels would be difficult to serve with Sumner’s water system though are unsure if we should show the water system boundary bisecting existing parcels.

Regards,
-Jason

Jason Van Gilder, PE
Associate Engineer – Public Works
CITY OF SUMNER
1104 Maple St., Suite 260
Sumner, WA  98390
253.299.5703  (Direct)
253.778.9373  (Cell)
JasonV@sumnerwa.gov
Files attached to this message

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filename</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Checksum (SHA256)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BL Service Area - 2019 WSP Plan.pdf</td>
<td>8.42 MB</td>
<td>9aedb1a1be0da56bcdcd2ee81e208ed3d0ae543e2313f26510150c30a5bf104a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumner RSA from Sumner WSP.pdf</td>
<td>2.16 MB</td>
<td>af9f06314888ee738a006591d3e370c01943732b926ad5f89ace609468d646dc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please click on the following link to download the attachments: https://liquidfiles.sumnerwa.gov/message/YBGJuFTTMQnYeKVqMjHlmv

This email or download link can be forwarded to anyone.

The attachments are available until: **Thursday, 29 August.**

Message ID: YBGJuFTTMQnYeKVqMjHlmv

From: Virginia Larsen [mailto:v Larsen@Rh2.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2019 3:31 PM
To: Jason VanGilder
Cc: Dave Matz; Geoff Dillard
Subject: Bonney Lake 2019 WSP Update - Consistency Checklist

***EXTERNAL EMAIL***
Mr. Van Gilder,

The City of Bonney Lake is updating their Water System Plan, and as an adjacent water system your review is needed to complete the updating process. Enclosed is a public link including the complete City of Bonney Lake Water System Plan and an electronic copy of a Consistency Checklist. Please fill out and sign the Consistency Checklist and return it to RH2 Engineering, Inc., ATTN: Geoff Dillard. The relevant sections of the Plan are indicated on the checklist to facilitate your review.


Thank you for your time and assistance with this endeavor. If you have any questions or need clarification, please contact us at gdillard@rh2.com or (253) 272-3059.

Virginia Larsen
Administrative Assistant | RH2 Engineering, Inc.

1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 1750
Tacoma, WA 98402
O: 253.235.0446
v Larsen@rh2.com
www.rh2.com
Local Government Consistency Determination Form

Water System Name:  **Bonney Lake Water Department**  PWS ID:  **07650H**
Planning/Engineering Document Title:  **Water System Plan**  Plan Date:  **June 2019**
Local Government with Jurisdiction Conducting Review:  **Pierce County**

Before the Department of Health (DOH) approves a planning or engineering submittal under Section 100 or Section 110, the local government must review the documentation the municipal water supplier provides to prove the submittal is consistent with **local comprehensive plans, land use plans and development regulations** (WAC 246-290-108). Submittals under Section 105 require a local consistency determination if the municipal water supplier requests a water right place-of-use expansion. The review must address the elements identified below as they relate to water service.

By signing this form, the local government reviewer confirms the document under review is consistent with applicable local plans and regulations. If the local government reviewer identifies an inconsistency, he or she should include the citation from the applicable comprehensive plan or development regulation and explain how to resolve the inconsistency, or confirm that the inconsistency is not applicable by marking N/A. See more instructions on reverse.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Government Consistency Statement</th>
<th>Identify the page(s) in submittal</th>
<th>Yes or Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) The water system service area is consistent with the adopted <strong>land use and zoning</strong> within the service area.</td>
<td>Chapter 3 Pgs. 3-3 to 3-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) The growth projection used to forecast water demand is consistent with the adopted city or county’s population growth projections. If a different growth projection is used, provide an explanation of the alternative growth projection and methodology.</td>
<td>Chapter 4 Pgs. 4-25 to 4-27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) For cities and towns that provide water service: All water service area policies of the city or town described in the plan conform to all relevant <strong>utility service extension ordinances</strong>.</td>
<td>Chapter 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) <strong>Service area policies</strong> for new service connections conform to the adopted local plans and adopted development regulations of all cities and counties with jurisdiction over the service area.</td>
<td>Chapter 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) <strong>Other relevant elements</strong> related to water supply are addressed in the water system plan, if applicable. This may include Coordinated Water System Plans, Regional Wastewater Plans, Reclaimed Water Plans, Groundwater Management Area Plans, and the Capital Facilities Element of local comprehensive plans.</td>
<td>Chapter 3 Pgs. 3-1 to 3-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge and that these specific elements are consistent with adopted local plans and development regulations.

**NO RESPONSE RECEIVED**

Signature  
Date

Printed Name, Title, & Jurisdiction
Conistency Review Guidance

*For Use by Local Governments and Municipal Water Suppliers*

This checklist may be used to meet the requirements of WAC 246-290-108. When using an alternative format, it must describe all of the elements; 1a), b), c), d), and e), when they apply.

For **water system plans (WSP)**, a consistency review is required for the service area and any additional areas where a **municipal water supplier** wants to expand its water right’s place of use.

For **small water system management programs**, a consistency review is only required for areas where a **municipal water supplier** wants to expand its water right’s place-of-use. If no water right place-of-use expansion is requested, a consistency review is not required.

For **engineering documents**, a consistency review is required for areas where a **municipal water supplier** wants to expand its water right’s place-of-use (water system plan amendment is required). For noncommunity water systems, a consistency review is required when requesting a place-of-use expansion. All engineering documents must be submitted with a service area map (WAC 246-290-110(4)(b)(ii)).

**A) Documenting Consistency:** The planning or engineering document must include the following when applicable.

a) A copy of the adopted **land use/zoning** map corresponding to the service area. The uses provided in the WSP should be consistent with the adopted land use/zoning map. Include any other portions of comprehensive plans or development regulations that relate to water supply planning.

b) A copy of the **growth projections** that correspond to the service area. If the local population growth projections are not used, explain in detail why the chosen projections more accurately describe the expected growth rate. Explain how it is consistent with the adopted land use.

c) Include water service area policies and show that they are consistent with the **utility service extension ordinances** within the city or town boundaries. *This applies to cities and towns only.*

d) All **service area policies** for how new water service will be provided to new customers.

e) **Other relevant elements** the Department of Health determines are related to water supply planning. See Local Government Consistency – Other Relevant Elements, Policy B.07, September 2009.

**B) Documenting an Inconsistency:** Please document the inconsistency, include the citation from the comprehensive plan or development regulation, and explain how to resolve the inconsistency.

**C) Documenting a Lack of Local Review for Consistency:** Where the local government with jurisdiction did not provide a consistency review, document efforts made and the amount of time provided to the local government for review. Please include: name of contact, date, and efforts made (letters, phone calls, and emails). To self-certify, please contact the DOH Planner.

The Department of Health is an equal opportunity agency. For persons with disabilities, this document is available on request in other formats. To submit a request, please call 1-800-525-0127 (TTY 1-800-833-6388).
Mr. Moline,

The City of Bonney Lake is updating their Water System Plan, and as an adjacent water system, we are sending a copy of the plan to you for review. Below is a public link including the complete City of Bonney Lake Water System Plan.


If you have any questions or need clarification, please contact us at (253) 272-3059.

Thank you,

Virginia Larsen
Administrative Assistant | RH2 Engineering, Inc.
1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 1750
Tacoma, WA 98402
O: 253.235.0446
vlarsen@rh2.com
www.rh2.com
TO: Tacoma Water  
3628 South 35th Street  
Tacoma, WA 98409  

Sent Via: Email

**DATE:** July 24, 2019  
**JOB NO.:** BON 519.022  
**ATTENTION:** Mr. Jason Moline, PE  
**RE:** City of Bonney Lake  
2019 Water System Plan  
Adjacent Utilities Review for DOH Requirement

---

**WE ARE SENDING YOU THE FOLLOWING:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Attached</th>
<th>Under Separate Cover Via:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shop Drawings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Copy of Letter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CONTENTS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COPIES</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/24/19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>City of Bonney Lake 2019 Water System Plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**THESE ARE TRANSMITTED AS CHECKED BELOW:**

| For approval | Approved as submitted | Resubmit copies for approval |
| For your use | Approved as noted | Submit ___ copies for distribution |
| As requested | Returned for corrections | Return ___ corrected prints |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>For your information</th>
<th>Signature:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For review and comment</td>
<td>For bids due:</td>
<td>Prints returned after loan to us</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REMARKS:**

The City of Bonney Lake is updating their Water System Plan, and as an adjacent water system, we are sending a copy of the plan to you for review. Enclosed is a public link including the complete City of Bonney Lake Water System Plan.

If you have any questions or need clarification, please contact us at (253) 272-3059.

---

**COPY TO:** File  
**SIGNED:** Geoff Dillard, PE
Mr. Vance,

The City of Bonney Lake is updating their Water System Plan, and as an adjacent water system, we are sending a copy of the plan to you for review. Below is a public link including the complete City of Bonney Lake Water System Plan.


If you have any questions or need clarification, please contact us at (253) 272-3059.

Thank you,

Virginia Larsen
Administrative Assistant | RH2 Engineering, Inc.
1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 1750
Tacoma, WA 98402
O: 253.235.0446
vlarsen@rh2.com
www.rh2.com
TO:  Valley Water District  
     14515 Pioneer Way E  
     Puyallup, WA 98372  

Sent Via: Email

WE ARE SENDING YOU THE FOLLOWING:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Attached</th>
<th>Under Separate Cover Via:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shop Drawings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Specifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Copy of Letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Change Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Submittals</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONTENTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COPIES</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7/24/19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>City of Bonney Lake 2019 Water System Plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THESE ARE TRANSMITTED AS CHECKED BELOW:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>For approval</th>
<th>Approved as submitted</th>
<th>Resubmit copies for approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For your use</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approved as noted</td>
<td>Submit ___ copies for distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As requested</td>
<td></td>
<td>Returned for corrections</td>
<td>Return ___ corrected prints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X For your information</td>
<td></td>
<td>Signature:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For review and comment</td>
<td></td>
<td>For bids due:</td>
<td>Prints returned after loan to us</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REMARKS:

The City of Bonney Lake is updating their Water System Plan, and as an adjacent water system, we are sending a copy of the plan to you for review. Enclosed is a public link including the complete City of Bonney Lake Water System Plan.

If you have any questions or need clarification, please contact us at (253) 272-3059.

COPY TO: File  
SIGNED: Geoff Dillard, PE