The City Council may act on items listed on this agenda, or by consensus give direction for future action. The
council may also add and take action on other items not listed on this agenda.

Location: City Hall Council Chambers, 19306 Bonney Lake Blvd., Bonney Lake.

I. Call to Order:
Mayor Neil Johnson

II. Roll Call:
Elected Officials: Mayor Neil Johnson, Jr., Deputy Mayor Dan Swatman, Councilmember
Laurie Carter, Councilmember Dan Decker, Councilmember Mark Hamilton, Councilmember
Donn Lewis, Councilmember Randy McKibbin and Councilmember Jim Rackley.

Expected Staff Members: City Administrator Don Morrison, Chief Financial Officer Al
Juarez, Asst. Public Works Director Charles Simpson, Police Chief Mike Mitchell, Community
Services Director Gary Leaf, Administrative Services Director/City Clerk Harwood Edvalson
and City Attorney Jim Dionne.

III. Agenda Items:

3-13
A. Presentation: Pierce Transit - Update on System Redesign PT Tomorrow - Tina
Lee, Principal Planner.

15-25
B. Council Open Discussion

27-40
Workshop Draft Minutes.

D. Discussion: AB10-59 - Ordinance D10-59 - Proposed Sewer Monthly Rate
Increase for Grinder Pump, Availability and Consumption rates.

IV. Executive Session:
Executive Session: Pursuant to RCW 42.30.110, the City Council may meet in executive session.
The topic(s) and duration will be announced prior to the executive session.

V. Adjournment:
For citizens with disabilities requesting translators or adaptive equipment for communication purposes,
the City requests notification as soon as possible of the type of service or equipment needed.

THE COUNCIL MAY ADD AND TAKE ACTION ON OTHER ITEMS NOT LISTED ON THIS AGENDA.
Update on PT Tomorrow

May 13, 2010
Planners’ Brown Bag

March/April Public Involvement

- Planners Summit
- Downtown Commuter Summit
- City Councils
- Employee Outreach
- Community Outreach:
  - Public Meetings
  - Transit Center Outreach
  - Ptomorrow.org website
  - Mailers/Surveys
  - Community Presentations
- Citizen Review Committee
May 24-26 – Korean & Spanish Meetings
June 1-7 – Rider Outreach
June 2-10 – Open Houses
June 14 – Public Hearing

Option B - 0.9% Plan Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Communities that Expressed Concern:</th>
<th>Subsequent Revisions were made in:</th>
<th>Draft Alternative Plan Summary:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bonney Lake</td>
<td>Bonney Lake</td>
<td>Objective is to serve centers with high population and employment promoting economic development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckley</td>
<td>Buckley</td>
<td>15 Minute Peak Hour Commuter Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DuPont</td>
<td>DuPont</td>
<td>Limited Stop Pacific Avenue Express</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgewood</td>
<td>Edgewood</td>
<td>All Day 15 minute service on Trunk Routes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milton</td>
<td>Milton</td>
<td>Sounder Connectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olympia</td>
<td>Olympia</td>
<td>Community Connectors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orting</td>
<td>Orting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puyallup</td>
<td>Puyallup</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pierce County/Graham</td>
<td>Pierce County/Graham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steilacoom</td>
<td>Steilacoom</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concept B: New Funding
15 Minute Peak Service Connections
Trunk Routes

Concept B: New Funding
15 Minute Peak Service Connections
Local Routes
Option B: 0.9% Funding
Peak Service Connections

Trunk Routes
15 minutes in peak times

Local Routes
13 minutes in peak times

Community Connector Routes

- Gig Harbor Connector
- North Tacoma Connector
- Puyallup – Wildwood Connector
- Sumner – Bonney Lake – Prairie Ridge Connector
Bus Plus Routes

- Dupont
- Bonney Lake - Buckley
- Parkland
- Peninsula
- Puyallup/Canyon Road
- 224th Street

Sounder Connector Services

- South Hill to Puyallup Station
- Buckley / Bonney Lake Park & Ride to Sumner Station
- Lakeland Hills to Auburn Station
- Orting to Sumner
## Capital Facility Proposals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modify</th>
<th>New Facilities</th>
<th>No Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commerce Street Transfer Area</td>
<td>Pacific Avenue &amp; 112th Street Stop / Station Improvements</td>
<td>Kimball Park &amp; Ride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkland Transit Center</td>
<td>Roy &quot;V&quot; Park &amp; Ride</td>
<td>South Hill Mall Transit Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tacoma Community College Transit Center</td>
<td>Purdy/Peninsula Park &amp; Ride</td>
<td>Tacoma Dome Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tacoma Mall Transit Center</td>
<td>Canyon and 176th Park &amp; Ride</td>
<td>SR-512 Park &amp; Ride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72nd Street Transit Center</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lakewood Transit Center</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Option A – .6% Plan Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Concept made these Recommendations:</th>
<th>Revised Plan Improvements:</th>
<th>Current Recommendation Summary:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service Elimination:</td>
<td>Additions:</td>
<td>Objective is to serve the greatest number of people the best way possible with limited dollars.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckley, Edgewood, Federal Way, Fife, Graham, Milton, Mid-County, North Tacoma, North East Tacoma, Olympia, Orting, Steilacoom</td>
<td></td>
<td>Commuter service and local access to basic life needs prioritized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Reductions:</td>
<td></td>
<td>30 minute service becomes 60 minute service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonney Lake, Gig Harbor, Lakewood, Pierce County, Puyallup, Tacoma, University Place</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 minute Trunk Route service becomes 20/30 minute service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Some all day service eliminated or peak only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Limited weekend routes, 60 minute service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Alternative Comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Today's Service</th>
<th>Option A .6% Funding</th>
<th>Option B .9% Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Service Hours</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2009)</td>
<td>622,000</td>
<td>353,000</td>
<td>654,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Annual Ridership</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2009)</td>
<td>14.3 Million</td>
<td>10.5 Million</td>
<td>15.6 Million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New Funding Routes in Relation to Pierce County UGAs
BONNEY LAKE
June 2nd 5:00-7:00pm
Bonney Lake Senior Center
19304 Bonney Lake Blvd, Bonney Lake 98390
Served by Route 407

PARKLAND/SPANAWAY
June 3rd 4:30-6:30pm
Pacific Lutheran University, East Campus (Gym),
Corner of 121st S & Pacific Ave, Tacoma 98447
Served by Routes 1, 45, 55, 204, 410, 444

PUYALLUP/SOUTH HILL/SUMNER
June 7th 4:30-6:30pm
Kalles Junior High School (Commons)
501 Seventh Ave SE, Puyallup 98372
Served by Route 402

LAKEWOOD/UNIVERSITY PLACE
June 8th 4:30-6:30pm
Pierce Transit Training Center
3720 96th St SW, Lakewood 98499
Served by Routes 48, 300

TACOMA
June 9th 4:30-6:30pm
The Evergreen State College (Commons)
1210 6th Ave, Tacoma 98405
Served by Routes 1, 16, 28, 57

GIG HARBOR/KEY PENINSULA
June 10th 4:30-6:30pm
Civic Center (Council Chambers)
3510 Grandview St, Gig Harbor 98335
Served by Route 100

Meeting will also be held in Korean and Spanish:

Junta Pública en Español
May 24th 4:30-6:30pm
Portland Avenue Community Center
3513 E. Portland Ave., Tacoma 98404
Served by Route 54
(Meeting in held in Spanish)

Presentation in English with Korean translation
May 26, 2010
11:00 AM presentation with questions after
Korean Women’s Association
123 East 96th Street, Tacoma 98445
Served by Route 1
Key Board System Redesign Actions Projected 2010

May 5 Work Session
- Board Provides Feedback on Alternatives
- CRC Makes Recommendation to Board
- Revise Alternatives

May 10
- Board Mtg

May 28
- Board Committees Reviews Final Alternatives
- Public Involvement on Alternatives (phase 3)

June 14
- Public Hearing at Board Meeting on Final Alternatives

July 12
- Board Adopts Preferred Alternative
- Board Decision
  - Exercise existing taxing authority
  - Resize Pierce Transit
  - Defer decision, continue to spend reserves

May 24-26 – Korean & Spanish Meetings
June 1-7 – Rider Outreach
June 2-10 – Open Houses
June 14 – Public Hearing

Legend:
- PT Tomorrow
- Board
- Option A
- Option B
- Option C

May 4, 2010
I. Call to Order: Mayor Neil Johnson, Jr. called the workshop to order at 5:34 p.m.

II. Roll Call: [A1.3]

Administrative Services Director/City Clerk Harwood Edvalson called the roll. In addition to Mayor Johnson, elected officials attending were Deputy Mayor Dan Swatman, Councilmember Laurie Carter, Councilmember Dan Decker, Councilmember Mark Hamilton, Councilmember Donn Lewis, Councilmember Randy McKibbin and Councilmember James Rackley.

[Staff members in attendance were City Administrator Don Morrison, Community Development Director John Vodopich, Chief Financial Officer Al Juarez, Police Chief Mike Mitchell, Public Works Director Dan Grigsby, Community Services Director Gary Leaf, Administrative Services Director/City Clerk Harwood Edvalson, Assistant City Attorney Kathleen Haggard, Records & Information Specialist Susan Duis and Engineering Technician Andrew Fonda. Judge Ron Heslop and Court Administrator Kathy Seymour were present during discussion of agenda item A.]

III. Agenda Items:

A. Discussion: AB10-83 - Council/Court Chambers Design and AV Options.

City Administrator Morrison said four layout options are presented for review, and staff prefer option C for Court and Council use. He said this option works for both Court and Administration, holds the most people, and allows the Council to see each other more easily. Councilmembers were in favor of layout option C. Rex Bond from ARC Architects provided details, noting that the raised dais has an ADA-accessible ramp and is raised about as high as the current chambers dais. City Administrator Morrison said the tall ceiling (15’6”) allows a projector screen to be placed behind the Council for audience members, with monitors on the dais for the Council and Judge to view.

Mr. Bond offered general information on the proposed audio, video, and broadcasting options as BRC Acoustics’ Tom Mulland was unable to attend the Workshop. The proposal includes a digital audio system, video displays, projection screen, and a basic ‘request to speak’ system for Councilmembers. Mr. Bond said the chambers can be wired for broadcasting capabilities during the initial setup without installing broadcasting equipment, which reduces the initial project cost.

Councilmember Carter said the proposed setup allows the Court to do video arraignments. Court Administrator Kathy Seymour said wireless microphones are used for juror selection, and a portable TV cart is not necessary if a projector is
installed. Council consensus was to use Option 1 for the audio/visual options, and to install wiring so broadcasting equipment can be added at a later date.

Councilmember Carter said though this is an ‘interim’ city building, it will be used until a new Civic building is completed. Mayor Johnson said the IJC building may be needed for anywhere from a few years to 10 years or more, depending on how quickly the City moves forward with the downtown civic campus. Mr. Bond said he and Mr. Mulland will refine their designs and provide the Council with detailed estimates so the City can prepare an advertisement for bids.

B. **Discussion:** AB10-54 – Storm Water Pollution Prevention Art Contest.

Engineering Technician Andrew Fonda said the State’s storm water program includes a requirement for public education and outreach. The City invited area K-12 students to submit drawings for specific categories: friendly vehicle washing; household hazardous waste disposal; pet waste disposal; pollution/illegal dumping reporting; used oil recycling; and water conservation. He asked the Council to select two drawings per category, which will be included in a calendar promoting storm water pollution prevention. He presented the 56 entries received and asked the Council to turn in their votes after the workshop to be tallied. Director Grigsby said at the end of the month, the winning students will be invited to attend a Council Meeting to receive awards and recognition.

C. **Discussion:** AB10-16 - Review of Planning Commission 2010-2012 Workplan.

Community Development Director Vodopich said the revised work plan includes new items and items carried over from 2009. Councilmembers discussed nightclub standards, which relates to noise from businesses located near residential areas. Councilmember Carter said as the City develops, noise from nightclubs located near residential areas will continue to be a problem. Councilmember Decker suggested that when homes are built adjacent to commercial areas, they must be designed with better noise abatement. He said if a nightclub is built near an existing residential area, the nightclub should similarly be required to have better noise abatement built-in. Councilmembers Carter and McKibbin expressed interest in researching this option.

Deputy Mayor Swatman asked about revisions to Title 16; Director Vodopich said a WCIA land use audit in 2009 suggested the City remove language about 25% tree retention from BLMC Chapter 16. Assistant City Attorney Haggard said this stems from a 2008 court case in King County, which stated that cities cannot set a flat percentage for open space. She said Bonney Lake’s code limits the City, not the developer, and she does not feel the City’s code is illegal. She said the Council may still want to consider eliminating this language for other reasons, and to consider tree removal requests on a case-by-case basis. Council consensus was to remove Title 16 from the work plan, noting that if the Council wishes to consider it at a later date it will come before the Planning Commission for review.

Director Leaf addressed updates to the Parks Element of the Comprehensive Plan, noting that three Park Board members have formed a subcommittee to work on updates. He said he and Planning Manager Heather Stinson are also working on updates and there are no plans to hire a consultant at this time.
Councilmember Lewis suggested discussion of a Midtown plan be added to the work plan. Council consensus was to add this item as a medium-priority issue.

Councilmember Carter said residents often complain to her about the lack of notification on planning actions. Director Vodopich said the code requires notification for specific types of land use and planning actions, and can be revised if the Council desires. Mayor Johnson suggested that staff can put together an informational piece to send out with utility bills and/or to publish in the newsletter. Director Vodopich said the main consideration is what type of issue rises to the level of justifying formal notifications. Councilmember Rackley said the Council had similar discussions when it changed the notification range from 300’ to 600’. He said this is an ongoing issue and the City simply has to keep trying to keep people informed. The revised work plan was forwarded to the May 11th Meeting for action.

D. Council Open Discussion:

WSU Forest: Councilmember Rackley said ‘no trespassing’ signs are still posted in the WSU forest and residents are concerned about entering the forest. Director Leaf said the forest is open. He said volunteers removed about half the signs and when temporary summer hires start work this month this will be a priority project.

Glass Recycling: Councilmember Decker said several residents have complained that D.M. Disposal no longer offers glass recycling pick-up. Director Grigsby said residents can drop off glass containers at the South Prairie Transfer Station. Mayor Johnson said glass recycling is no longer cost effective for recycling companies. City Administrator Morrison said D.M. Disposal is willing to place a large glass recycling bin somewhere in the City. However, he said businesses and the City are not interested in placing a bin on their property because the bins result in broken glass and illegal dumping on the property. Director Grigsby said the transfer station accepts separated glass, since brown bottles in particular are more cost-effective to recycle.

Flood Control District: Councilmember Hamilton said the County will vote on whether to create a flood control district this evening, and he opposes it. He said the City of Bonney Lake did not cause the risks in the valley related to flooding and lahar flows. He said the flood risk stems from uncontrolled development in the valley, and the City should attempt to opt-out of the district if possible and should not be asked to help subsidize uncontrolled development in the valley. Councilmember Hamilton said the cities and county have their own storm water utilities and collect taxes, and he believes the new district will attempt to levy an additional tax. He said cities on the plateau should demand to be placed in a separate sub-district that is not assessed the same level of taxes as those in the flood plain.

Deputy Mayor Swatman and Councilmembers Carter and Rackley agreed that cities like Bonney Lake should not be required to pay for areas that are at risk in the valley. Mayor Johnson said he and Deputy Mayor Swatman sent letters to the County Council relating their concerns about the proposed Flood Control District. He said their response was that they would figure out details about financing and other issues after the district is formed. Councilmember Rackley said the Council should pass a resolution expressing their concerns. Mayor Johnson asked Director Vodopich to research what was approved at the County Council’s meeting to provide to Council for further discussion.
Interim Justice Center Tour: Deputy Mayor Swatman thanked staff for giving a tour of the IJC building currently under construction. He reminded Councilmembers that the new court/council chambers room is about the same size as the existing chambers.

Eastown Sewer: Deputy Mayor Swatman asked for updates on easements for sewer in Eastown. Mayor Johnson said he has set a general deadline of August 1, 2010 to receive easements from all property owners, so the Council can make a determination on the project and move forward.

Milfoil Meeting: Deputy Mayor Swatman said Cascade Water Alliance has scheduled a second meeting on May 11th to discuss milfoil treatment on Lake Tapps. He said the Council should move its meetings to Mondays to avoid scheduling conflicts like this.

Pierce Transit: Deputy Mayor Swatman congratulated the Mayor on being named to the Pierce Transit Board, which meets on Monday, May 10th at 4:00 p.m. to discuss service options. He said he, Councilmember Rackley, Councilmember Hamilton, City Administrator Morrison, and possibly Councilmember Lewis plan to attend. Assistant City Attorney Haggard said they can attend without danger of violating the open meetings act as long as they do not conduct City business. Mayor Johnson said he understands a Pierce Transit attorney will be on hand to discuss cities’ options to de-annex from the transit agency. He said he will attend a work session May 5th and report back to the Council. He said he wants to talk with the board on the importance of meetings between the small cities and Pierce Transit staff.

Kudos: Councilmember Carter congratulated Director Leaf on his progress on the Safe Routes to School project.

Heritage Trees: Councilmember Carter said the City needs to take action on current Heritage Tree applications.

Reed Property: Councilmember Carter said she has found a report on artifacts and the historic value of the recently purchased Reed Property. Mayor Johnson asked her to provide a copy of the report to the City Administrator to review.

Walkable Cities: Councilmember Carter said she has read several articles on walkable communities, including one about supermarkets in walkable communities. She said good transit is essential to help residents get training and get to their jobs.

School District: Mayor Johnson said the Sumner School District notified him that they are working on a request for proposals to manage the swimming pool. They said that otherwise, the pool could be shut down by August 28, 2010. Mayor Johnson said he is seeking more details and will give a report to the Council soon.


Councilmember Carter asked that the April 20th Workshop minutes reflect that historical photographs were provided by Fred and Winona Jacobsen, and that the Families First Coalition meeting is on May 24th at 4:00 p.m. (not April 19th). The corrected minutes were forwarded to the May 11, 2010 Meeting for action.
F. **Discussion (Tabled from 4/27/10): AB10-74 – Ordinance D10-74 – An Ordinance of the City of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Updating the Sign Code to Allow Nonpolitical Campaign Signs in the Right-of-Way.**

Director Vodopich summarized the draft ordinance, which incorporates Council input and suggestions from the Attorney. Deputy Mayor Swatman said 7 days is too long after an event to allow signs to stay up. Assistant City Attorney Haggard said the State laws about campaign signs are probably uniform, and the City may not be able to apply tighter restrictions for taking down campaign signs. She said the City can regulate non-political signs, however. She said the proposed ordinance includes definitions for the type of groups and events that can post signs.

Deputy Mayor Swatman said the proposed ordinance will cause new problems and clutter in the right-of-way. He noted that while the City can manage time, place and manner of placing signs, it cannot control the content of these signs. He feels the existing code should be left alone. Councilmember Rackley said he is concerned with enforcing timely clean-up after events. Director Vodopich said the code allows the City to assess costs for removing signs, which could be enforced if Council wishes.

Deputy Mayor Swatman and Councilmember Carter spoke in favor of designating specific areas in the City where non-political campaign signs can be posted. They said this would make it easier for staff to enforce the code and clean up expired or unapproved signs. Councilmember Decker agreed, noting that staff could provide groups with a map of designated areas when they request a permit. Director Vodopich said staff can provide civic groups with stickers or labels, which must be placed on each to show they are permitted and when they ‘expire’ and must be removed.

Councilmember Hamilton suggested staff take a deposit when giving out permits, which can be used for the cost of collecting signs if they are not removed. Director Vodopich said there is no fee or permit process currently, and Finance Director Juarez said taking deposits would be cumbersome to manage financially. Mayor Johnson suggested that groups simply be notified that if the signs are not removed by the deadline, the City can impose a fee for sign removal.

Councilmembers discussed possible locations for designated signage areas. Director Vodopich noted that the State does not allow any signs on its right-of-way, such as along SR 410. Councilmember Carter said Special Events signs are posted currently. The item was continued to the May 18, 2010 Workshop for further discussion.

**Councilmember Decker moved to add item AB10-88, amending the Public Works Trust Fund Loan application, to the current agenda. Councilmember Rackley seconded the motion.**

Motion approved 5 – 2.
Deputy Mayor Swatman and Councilmember McKibbin voted no.

G. **Added to Agenda: AB10-88 – A Motion of the City of Bonney Lake, Pierce County Washington, Amending the Public Works Trust Fund Loan Application for the Sumner/Bonney Lake Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade Phase II Project Approved by Council on April 27, 2010 (AB10-72).**
Director Grigsby said the engineering group Gray and Osborne, who provided initial cost estimates for the grant application, realized that the designed flood wall was not tall enough. Increasing the height by two feet, which meets FEMA standards, increases the estimated project cost by about $200,000, which increases Bonney Lake’s cost by about $100,000. He said the grant application must be submitted by May 11, 2010 in order to be considered for 2011 funds, so the Council must take action to amend the application at the Workshop. He said if the City is awarded PWTL funds, it does not have to spend them. He said if the application is not amended and the project goes over budget, those costs would have to be made up with SDC funds or other resources. He asked the Council to consider amending the city’s loan application by an increase of $100,000.

Deputy Mayor Swatman said he feels the floodwall project is the type of project a group like the new Flood Control District should consider funding. He said Sumner receives all the profits from the sewer treatment plant and Bonney Lake has no control in the operations or plans for the plant. He and Councilmember Hamilton said the City needs to consider long-term plans for sewer treatment within Bonney Lake. Deputy Mayor Swatman said the Council must carefully monitor incoming SDC funds and costs for future projects. Director Grigsby said the State requires the City to have a plan in place once it reaches 85% of its current sewer capacity, which is why upgrades to the Sumner plant must start soon.

Councilmember Lewis moved to take action on the motion amending the Public Works Trust Fund Loan application. Councilmember Rackley seconded the motion.

Motion approved 6 – 1.
Deputy Mayor Swatman voted no.

IV. Executive Session: None.

V. Adjournment:

At 7:49 p.m., Councilmember Rackley moved to adjourn the workshop. Councilmember Lewis seconded the motion.

Motion approved 7 – 0.

Harwood T. Edvalson, CMC
City Clerk

Neil Johnson, Jr.
Mayor

Items submitted to the Council Workshop of May 4, 2010:

- City of Bonney Lake – Storm Water Pollution Prevention Art Contest Voting Form – Andrew Fonda.
- City of Bonney Lake – Why We Need a Midtown Plan – Councilmember Laurie Carter.
I. CALL TO ORDER – Mayor Neil Johnson, Jr. called the meeting to order at 6:59 p.m.

A. Flag Salute: Mayor Johnson led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

B. Roll Call:
Administrative Services Director/City Clerk Harwood Edvalson called the roll. In addition to Mayor Johnson, elected officials attending were Deputy Mayor Dan Swatman, Councilmember Laurie Carter, Councilmember Dan Decker, Councilmember Mark Hamilton, Councilmember Donn Lewis, Councilmember Randy McKibbin and Councilmember Jim Rackley.

[Staff members in attendance were City Administrator Don Morrison, Public Works Director Dan Grigsby, Assistant Police Chief Dana Powers, Chief Financial Officer Al Juarez, Community Development Director John Vodopich, Community Services Director Gary Leaf, City Attorney Jim Dionne, Administrative Services Director/City Clerk Harwood Edvalson and Records & Information Specialist Susan Duis.]

C. Announcements, Appointments and Presentations:

1. Announcements: None.

2. Appointments:
   a. AB10-84 – A Motion of the Bonney Lake City Council Confirming the Mayor's Appointment of Tom Watson to the Bonney Lake Design Commission.

   Councilmember Carter moved to approve the appointment. Councilmember Rackley seconded the motion.

   Mayor Johnson said the Design Commission now has full membership and thanked Mr. Watson for serving.

   Motion approved 7 – 0.

3. Presentations:

   Mayor Johnson read the proclamation aloud. Public Works Director Grigsby displayed the 2010 Public Works Week poster, titled ‘Above, Below & All Around You,’ which will be on display at City Hall. He said the City has great Public Works staff and though public works projects are not always visible, they are very important to the City.
D. **Agenda Modifications:** None.

**II. PUBLIC HEARINGS, CITIZEN COMMENTS & CORRESPONDENCE:**

A. **Public Hearings:** None.

B. **Citizen Comments:** None.

C. **Correspondence:** None.

**III. COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS:**

A. **Finance Committee:** Deputy Mayor Swatman said the committee met at 5:30 p.m. earlier in the evening and discussed personnel updates, and forwarded Resolution 2038 and Resolution 2039 to the current agenda. The committee also reviewed interior design selections for the Interim Justice Center.

B. **Community Development Committee:** Councilmember Rackley said the committee met on May 3rd and discussed access to roadways and set a list of priorities for the committee. They forwarded Resolution 2031, Resolution 2033 and Resolution 2035 to the current meeting Consent Agenda.

C. **Public Safety Committee:** Councilmember Hamilton said the committee met on May 3rd and discussed animal control code revisions, which are now being reviewed by the City Attorney. The committee discussed the affect of potential annexations on the Police and Court departments. They also discussed fire code amendments. He said several citizens have signed up to serve on a Lake Tapps boating advisory committee. He said a resident living on the lake expressed concern to the committee about speeding on streets in his neighborhood. He said his homeowner’s association is willing to purchase flashing speed zone signs to post in their neighborhood.

D. **Other Reports:**

**Park Board:** Councilmember Carter attended the Park Board Meeting on May 10th, and said the board recommended the City install sidewalks along the Moriaty property to provide access to the Lake and Allan Yorke Park. The Board has prepared a draft survey about park services for residents, which could be made available online and during City events. She said the Board members have started preliminary work on Comprehensive Plan amendments, and have requested a map that displays the City limits, CUGA areas, area parks and the Fennel Creek trail corridor.

**Pierce Transit:** Deputy Mayor Swatman said he, Councilmember Lewis and City Administrator Morrison attended a public meeting held by Pierce Transit on May 10th. He said the Board expressed concern about the interests of small cities and he looks forward to scheduling meetings with Pierce Transit staff in the near future.

Mayor Johnson, who is now a member of the Pierce Transit Board, said he thinks the Board members understand the concerns raised by small cities. He said members Terry Lee and Tim Farrell have expressed interest in attending meetings with
representatives from the small cities and Pierce Transit staff to discuss options. Mayor Johnson said the Growth Management Act plays a role in requiring transit, and he has provided this and other information to Board members.

8th District Congressional Candidate: Mayor Johnson said he met with candidate Suzanne DelBene to discuss Bonney Lake’s concerns and desires for a representative last week. He said the City has not received much attention from representatives in the past, and he provided her with contact information for people and businesses in Bonney Lake that she may contact.

School District: Mayor Johnson said he is meeting with Sumner School District to discuss issues and options for management of the pool in Sumner soon. He said the pool is important to the community and he hopes to find a solution to keep it open.

IV. CONSENT AGENDA:

A. **Approval of Minutes:** April 20, 2010 Council Workshop, April 27, 2010 Special Council Meeting and April 27, 2010 Council Meeting.

B. **Accounts Payable Checks/Vouchers:** Accounts Payable checks/vouchers #58468 thru 58528 (including wire transfer #’s 3022010, 4022010, 4152010, 4192010 & 41520101) in the amount of $1,845,955.36. Accounts Payable checks/vouchers #58529 for a Utility Refund in the amount of $100.00. Accounts Payable checks/vouchers #58530 thru 58568 in the amount of $116,852.89. Accounts Payable checks/vouchers #58569 for a Utility Refund in the amount of $162.35.

C. **Approval of Payroll:** Payroll for April 16-30th 2010 for checks 28953-28984 including Direct Deposits and Electronic Transfers in the amount of $554,723.26.

D. **AB10-77 – Resolution 2031** – A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing a Water and Sewer Developer Extension Agreement with Washington State University Short Plat.

E. **AB10-79 – Resolution 2033** – A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing the Mayor to Sign a Purchase and Sale Agreement with the Sumner School District to Provide Right-Of-Way for the Safe Routes to School Project.

F. **AB10-81 – Resolution 2035** – A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing a Water Developer Extension Agreement with First Pentecostal Church of Puget Sound.

Councilmember Rackley moved to approve the Consent Agenda. Councilmember Decker seconded the motion.

Consent Agenda approved 7 – 0.

V. FINANCE COMMITTEE ISSUES:
A. **AB10-86 – Resolution 2038** – A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing Entering into a SEPA Mitigation Agreement with Sumner School District for the Bonney Lake Elementary School Remodeling Project.

Councilmember Decker moved to approve Resolution 2038. Councilmember Carter seconded the motion.

Resolution 2038 approved 7 – 0.

B. **AB10-87 – Resolution 2039** – A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing the Approval of a Contract with the Washington State Department of Transportation to Modify Pond A and Pond C in Eastown.

Councilmember Lewis moved to approve Resolution 2039. Councilmember Decker seconded the motion.

Councilmember Carter asked whether the storm water ponds will be accessible to the public for open space and/or walking paths once Eastown develops. Director Grigsby said the ponds are being built specifically to handle SR 410 storm water, and other businesses that build in Eastown will have to build their own ponds. He said Ponds A and C are near planned streets and current businesses. He said the ponds will be planted with indigenous vegetation and flattened, and could possibly be made accessible. He noted that Pond C is partially in the Fennel Creek buffer, which is allowed. He said the pond was moved, in part, to accommodate a future planned road connecting to SR 410. He said storm water ponds help decrease the risk of floods and improve the quality of the water that is released back into the ground.

Resolution 2039 approved 7 – 0.

VI. **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE ISSUES:** None.

VII. **PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE ISSUES:** None.

VIII. **FULL COUNCIL ISSUES:**


Councilmember Hamilton moved to approve Ordinance 1349. Deputy Mayor Swatman seconded the motion.

Administrative Services Director/City Clerk Edvalson noted that the permit fee in this ordinance was revised to $50 from the $30 fee shown in the agenda bill. He said
this fee is comparable with what other cities charge. Mayor Johnson thanked Police Department staff for bringing up issues related to peddlers that led to this proposed ordinance.

**Ordinance 1349 approved 7 – 0.**

**B. AB10-90 – Resolution 2041** – A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Opposing the County Council’s Formation of a Flood District.

Councilmember Decker moved to approve Resolution 2041. Deputy Mayor Swatman seconded the motion.

Deputy Mayor Swatman noted a typographical error in the proposed resolution, and suggested amending the language to “which is being displaced.” He said it is important that the Council give the County Council its opinion on this issue. Councilmember Rackley thanked Deputy Mayor Swatman for bringing the item forward quickly. Councilmember Hamilton spoke in support of the proposed resolution, and expressed concern about encouraging development in areas prone to flooding. Mayor Johnson said he plans to send a letter along with the Resolution to the County Council.

**Resolution 2041 approved 7 – 0.**

**IX. EXECUTIVE SESSION:** None.

**X. ADJOURNMENT:**

At 7:32 p.m., Councilmember Carter moved to adjourn the meeting. Councilmember Lewis seconded the motion.

**Motion approved 7 – 0.**

Harwood Edvalson, CMC
City Clerk

Neil Johnson
Mayor

*Items submitted to the Council Meeting of May 11, 2010: None.*
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City of Bonney Lake

Date: 11 May 2010

To: Mayor and City Council

Topic: Sewer Operations and Maintenance (O&M) Monthly Rate Increases

Enclosure: (1) DRAFT Ordinance D10-59
(2) Sewer Grinder Pump Rate Analysis
(3) Sewer O&M Rate Increase Alternatives
(4) 2005-2010 Sewer Budget – Cost of Service Provided to Customers
(5) Sewer Rates Currently Charged and FCSG Recommended Alternatives

Reference: (a) FCSG Presentation to City Council on 16 March 2010

1. The following information is provided to summarize the attached documents for discussion at the 18 May 2010 City Council work shop.

2. Enclosure (1) provides the language to modify BLMC 13.12., resulting from the proposed increase in the Sewer Grinder Pump Rate and O&M rate. It also updates the SDC section to show the current 2010 rates instead of the 2009 rates.

3. Enclosure (2) provides an analysis of what it actually costs the city to provide services to sewer customers utilizing city owned grinder pumps. In addition to these costs are emergency services provided when power outages occur.

4. Enclosure (3) provides a summary showing the change in the sewer grinder pump rate effective 1 July 2010 and six different alternatives to increase the O&M rate effective 1 January 2011.
   a. Alternatives 1-3 incorporate different rate increases for the Availability and Volumetric elements of the sewer O&M rate. Customers that use more water will be charged more for sewer services.
   b. Alternatives 4-6 use the rate increases recommended by FCS Group with the Availability and Volumetric rate increases the same.
   c. Total Sewer charges are capped at 10 CCF water consumption each month.
5. Enclosure (4) shows the 2005-2010 Sewer budgets and the cost of service to each sewer customer. Numbers in red are based on cost projections using 2008 costs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2010 cost of service per customer account</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$78.38 per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$73.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$70.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$68.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$68.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Enclosure (5) shows the 2005-2010 sewer O&M rates charged by the City. The average cost of providing services to our customers in 2010 is $78.38 and the 2010 annual average/maximum O&M revenue received is $51.37/$56.82 per month. Some of this shortfall can be accounted for by the amount of depreciation included in the budget. 2008 depreciation amounts are used in the 2009 and 2010 budgets shown in this spread sheet since it is one of the last budget items to be booked during the financial statement preparation and likely will not be calculated/reviewed/confirmed, and booked until mid to late May.

7. **Sewer O&M Rate Analysis.** FCS Group Principal, Angie Sanchez Virnoche, presented the results of the Sewer O&M Rate analysis she prepared in 2009 on 16 March 2010 (reference (a)). She explained the basis for a Sewer Utility rate structure and provided recommendations on how this rate can be adjusted to ensure that the Sewer Enterprise Fund remains healthy in coming years. This was a separate discussion from the Sewer System Development Charge (SDC) Rate Analysis she prepared and presented last year.

The last Sewer O&M Rate Analysis was prepared in 2004 by HDR/EES. Every five to six years, a new rate analysis is prepared to update the baseline that annual CPI adjustments are applied to. Normally this occurs in the year that the Comprehensive Sewer System Plan is updated, which occurred in 2009. While annual adjustments do reflect most cost of living increases, they do not reflect cost increases/decreases since 2004 from other actions that impact this utility rate such as:

- Staff additions
- Employee benefit increases that exceeded the annual CIP adjustment
- More ER&R equipment added
- Increase in sewer infrastructure that needs to be maintained with corresponding increase in resources to do so.
- ER&R fund cost sharing change and resultant increase in 2006-07
- Unfunded state/federal mandates such as increased copper removal at the WWTF
- Need to fund O&M projects to reduce Infiltration and Interception (I&I)
- Flood prevention/prevention at WWTF site and other unforeseen O&M costs
- Incremental addition of infrastructure renewal costs to O&M rate rather than CIP being funded solely by SDC revenue...slowly build up amount over several years
- Enterprise Fund requirement for sufficient fund balance to be maintained.

Key Points Made. An Infrastructure Renewal component is certainly needed in the Sewer O&M rate. Another issue of equal importance was to have a fund balance sufficient for...
O&M and Debt Service costs...realizing that SDC revenue should be used to pay for non-
recurring CIP projects on which the rate was based. Otherwise, the city will incur debt
service costs to fund CIP projects when the city could have been using SDC revenue to avoid
these additional costs.

8. **History of Sewer O&M Rate Increases.** During discussion of the HDR/EES Sewer O&M
rate analysis prepared in 2004, the City Council decided to limit the sewer monthly rate
increase from 2005-2007 to 2% each year because the water O&M rate was increased by
20% each year during the same period. Instead, the sewer fund balance was drawn down by
over $550,000 to offset expenses that exceeded the 2% increase. Using this guidance,
HDR/EES indicated that an O&M rate increase would be needed by 2008. Due to the
recession, this increase has been delayed to 2011.

9. **Use of SDC funds to pay for O&M costs.** The sewer fund has a healthy fund balance
because the fund balance is primarily composed of SDC funds collected and set aside to fund
future projects identified in the Comprehensive Sewer System Plan (CSSP). While the City
can use the SDC funds to offset O&M shortfalls, this will result in one or more of the
following actions:
   a. Deferring sewer CIP projects
   b. Taking out loans or bonds to pay for sewer CIP projects with the result that
      additional costs will be incurred to pay interest charges.
   c. Items 2a and 2b may be offset by favorable bids received when construction
      projects are advertised.
   d. As infill of the City occurs in the next 10-20 years, SDC fund revenue will
diminish. Failure to use SDC funds to pay for expansion/upgrades of the sewer
infrastructure will result in O&M rate increases to fund both
      repair/replacement/recurring (O&M) CIP projects and CIP projects to fund
      expansion of the sewer system. Instead O&M CIP projects should be funded with
      O&M rate increases to allow use of SDC revenue to fund system expansion
      projects.

I believe that this paints a fair picture of where the sewer utility rate structure has been and where
it needs to go. Managing this enterprise fund as a business is the right thing to do.

Respectfully submitted,

Dan

DANIEL L. GRIGSBY, P.E.
ORDINANCE NO. D10-59

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BONNEY LAKE, PIERCE COUNTY, WASHINGTON, AMENDING CHAPTER 13.12 OF THE BONNEY LAKE MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO SEWER CHARGES.

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the general sewer rate payer should not subsidize the costs of grinder pumps for those households who require them; and

WHEREAS, the charges currently imposed by the City for installing, maintaining, servicing, and replacing city-owned domestic grinder lift pumps do not cover the costs of the service, and therefore the surcharge should be increased to cover the costs of the grinder pump program; and

WHEREAS, The City Council, pursuant to a comprehensive rate study, has determined that a general sewer rate increase is necessary in order to properly operate and maintain the sewer system of the City, and maintain the fiscal integrity of the wastewater utility; and

WHEREAS, the Council wishes to update the schedule of system development charges.

NOW THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BONNEY LAKE, WASHINGTON, DO ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. BLMC Sections 13.12.082 through 13.12.085 are hereby amended to read as follows:

13.12.082 Sewer Charges.

A. Each single-family resident not requiring or using a city-owned grinder pump shall pay the following monthly fees for sewer services, effective January 1, 2010, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sewer availability charge</th>
<th>$33.80</th>
<th>35.02</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volumetric charge</td>
<td>$2.10</td>
<td>$2.18 per 100 cubic feet (CCF) of monthly water consumption</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The volumetric charge shall be capped at ten CCF per month. Sewer availability charges shall be billed monthly. Volumetric charges for single-family residences shall be billed bi-monthly.

B. Each single-family resident using a city-owned grinder pump shall pay the following monthly fees for sewer services: sewer availability charge and volumetric charge as contained Section
in addition to the grinder pump surcharge contained in Section 13.12.085 effective July 1, 2010.

Sewer availability charge = $40.55-42.01
Volumetric charge = $2.10 @ 2.18 per 100 cubic feet (CCF) of monthly water consumption

The volumetric charge shall be capped at ten CCF per month. Sewer availability charges shall be billed monthly. Volumetric charges for single-family residences shall be billed bimonthly.

C. Discount for Senior Citizens and Disabled Persons. Owners of single-family residences who have qualified for real estate property tax exemption through the Pierce County assessor-treasurer’s office on the basis of age and/or disability, and who present proof thereof to the appropriate authority of the city, shall qualify and be entitled to a reduced sewer rate as may from time to time be set by the city council and as established as a 20 percent reduction from the sewer availability charge.

D. Sewer Service Charges for Customers Other than Single-Family Residential. The volumetric charge shall be capped at eight CCF per month for multifamily residents. There shall be no cap for other customers covered by this subsection. Sewer charges for customers other than single-family residential shall be billed monthly.

E. In the event of a water leak, upon following procedures as set forth in BLMC 13.04.100(G), the adjustment to the volumetric portion of the sewer charge shall be two-thirds of that portion of the customer’s water consumption bill which is over the average normal water usage. The adjustment shall be limited to the period of 90 days prior to the repair of the leak and inspection thereof; only one leakage adjustment will be allowed in any two-year period. Additional leaks will require on-site inspection and verification of repairs.

13.12.085 Grinder Pump Service Charges. For every building using a domestic grinder lift pump utilizing electric power furnished by the city or maintained by the city, there shall be an additional monthly charge of sixteen dollars ($16.00) to reimburse the city for the cost of the electric power and/or for the service on the pumps. The charges to be set by council resolution.

Section 2. BLMC Section 13.12.082 F is added and 13.12.090 is adjusted to read as follows:

F. Fixed Rate Adjustments:
1. Effective January 1, 2011, the sewer availability charge shall be increased to $36.42 per month, and the volumetric charge to $2.28 per month per 100 cubic feet (CCF) of monthly water consumption.
2. Effective January 1, 2012, the sewer availability charge shall be increased to $37.88 per month, and the volumetric charge to $2.40 per month per 100 cubic feet (CCF) of monthly water consumption.
3. Effective January 1, 2013, the sewer availability charge shall be increased to $39.39 per month, and the volumetric charge to $2.52 per month per 100 cubic feet (CCF) of monthly water consumption.

4. Effective January 1, 2014, the sewer availability charge shall be increased to $40.97 per month, and the volumetric charge to $2.64 per month per 100 cubic feet (CCF) of monthly water consumption.

13.12.090 Annual Rate Adjustment.

A. All rates in this section, except 13.12.82 F. Beginning January 1, 2011 and each successive January 1st thereafter, the sanitary sewer rates listed in this section shall be increased by the annual change in the most recent Seattle-Bremerton-Tacoma Consumer Price Index (Urban Consumers) published by the U.S. Department of Labor.

B. Rates indicated in section 13.12.82F. Beginning January 1st of each year, January 1, 2015 and on each successive January 1st thereafter beginning on January 1, 2009, the sanitary sewer rates listed in BLMC 13.12.082F shall be adjusted by the annual change in the most recent Seattle-Bremerton-Tacoma Consumer Price Index (Urban Consumers) published by the U.S. Department of Labor.

Section 3. BLMC Section 13.12.100 is hereby amended to read as follows:

13.12.100 System development charges.

A. The fees for connection to the city’s sewer utility shall be due and payable at the time of building permit issuance, effective January 1, 2010, as follows:

1. The fee for a single-family residence (new construction) shall be $9,099. $8,945.

2. The fee for an existing single-family residence served by an on-site septic disposal system shall be $9,099. $8,945.

3. The fee for a duplexes shall be $9,099 $17,890.

4. The fee for multifamily residential buildings with more than two units shall be as follows:
   a. Eighty percent of $9,099. $8,945 for each three or more bed/bonus room unit;
   b. Seventy percent of $9,099 $8,945 for each two bed/bonus room unit;
   c. Sixty percent of $9,099 $8,945 per dwelling unit for each one bed/bonus room unit;
   d. Fifty percent of $9,099 $8,945 for each studio/efficiency unit.
Section 4. The Mayor is hereby authorized to implement such administrative procedures as may be necessary to carry out the directions of this legislation.

Section 5. This Ordinance shall take effect thirty days after its passage, approval, and publication as required by law.

PASSED by the City Council and approved by the Mayor this ___ day of May, 2010.

__________________________
Neil Johnson, Jr.
Mayor

ATTEST:

Harwood T. Edvalson
City Clerk, CMC

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

James Dionne
City Attorney

Passed:
Valid:
Published:
Effective Date:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Grinders connections at electrical panel</th>
<th>Number of houses on each panel with 1 electric meter</th>
<th>Average Electrical Cost per Panel</th>
<th>Grinder Life cycle Cost</th>
<th>Labor/Equipment Costs Per Grinder</th>
<th>Number of locations with each set up at the electric panels</th>
<th>City Electrical Cost for households</th>
<th>City costs for grinder life cycle</th>
<th>City/Equipment costs</th>
<th>Total City Expenditure</th>
<th>Surcharge $6.99 per Grinder Customer</th>
<th>Over expenditure by city</th>
<th>Additional cost to customer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$11.21</td>
<td>$8.17</td>
<td>$10.66</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$89.68</td>
<td>$65.36</td>
<td>$85.28</td>
<td>$240.32</td>
<td>$55.92</td>
<td>($184.40)</td>
<td>$23.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$10.85</td>
<td>$8.17</td>
<td>$10.66</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$65.28</td>
<td>$49.02</td>
<td>$63.96</td>
<td>$178.26</td>
<td>$83.88</td>
<td>($94.38)</td>
<td>$7.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$11.21</td>
<td>$16.34</td>
<td>$21.32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$11.21</td>
<td>$16.34</td>
<td>$21.32</td>
<td>$48.87</td>
<td>$13.98</td>
<td>($34.89)</td>
<td>$17.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$12.14</td>
<td>$16.34</td>
<td>$21.32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$97.12</td>
<td>$130.72</td>
<td>$170.56</td>
<td>$398.40</td>
<td>$167.76</td>
<td>($230.64)</td>
<td>$9.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$11.50</td>
<td>$16.34</td>
<td>$21.32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$69.00</td>
<td>$98.04</td>
<td>$127.92</td>
<td>$294.96</td>
<td>$167.76</td>
<td>($127.20)</td>
<td>$5.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$12.31</td>
<td>$24.51</td>
<td>$31.98</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$49.24</td>
<td>$98.04</td>
<td>$127.92</td>
<td>$275.20</td>
<td>$111.84</td>
<td>($163.36)</td>
<td>$10.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$11.91</td>
<td>$24.51</td>
<td>$31.98</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$47.64</td>
<td>$98.04</td>
<td>$127.92</td>
<td>$273.60</td>
<td>$139.80</td>
<td>($133.80)</td>
<td>$6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$12.35</td>
<td>$24.51</td>
<td>$31.98</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$74.10</td>
<td>$147.06</td>
<td>$191.88</td>
<td>$413.04</td>
<td>$251.64</td>
<td>($161.40)</td>
<td>$4.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$93.51  $138.89  $181.22  43  $503.27  $702.62  $916.76  $2,122.65  $992.58  ($1,130.07)

City Monthly Over Expenditure $1,130.07
Administrative Fee (5%) $106.13
Average Increase Per Customer $8.71
Customer Total Surcharge $15.70
### Monthly Sewer Charges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2008 Availability (per CCF)</th>
<th>2009 Availability (per CCF)</th>
<th>2009 Volumetric (per CCF)</th>
<th>2010 Availability (per CCF)</th>
<th>2010 Volumetric (per CCF)</th>
<th>2011 Availability (per CCF)</th>
<th>2011 Volumetric (per CCF)</th>
<th>2012 Availability (per CCF)</th>
<th>2012 Volumetric (per CCF)</th>
<th>2013 Availability (per CCF)</th>
<th>2013 Volumetric (per CCF)</th>
<th>2014 Availability (per CCF)</th>
<th>2014 Volumetric (per CCF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FCS GROUP -- ALTERNATIVE 4 -- O&amp;M RATE INCREASE with 9% Increase</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paragraph A Single Family</td>
<td>$33.80</td>
<td>$2.10</td>
<td>$34.96</td>
<td>$2.17</td>
<td>$35.02</td>
<td>$2.18</td>
<td>$35.02</td>
<td>$2.18</td>
<td>$38.17</td>
<td>$2.37</td>
<td>$41.61</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>$45.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRAFT RATE Adjustments</td>
<td>3.65%</td>
<td>3.65%</td>
<td>3.43%</td>
<td>3.43%</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>9.00%</td>
<td>9.00%</td>
<td>9.00%</td>
<td>9.00%</td>
<td>9.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Single Family Rate (10 CCF)</td>
<td>$54.80</td>
<td>$56.68</td>
<td>$56.78</td>
<td>$56.78</td>
<td>$56.78</td>
<td>$61.89</td>
<td>$67.46</td>
<td>$73.53</td>
<td>$79.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **FCS GROUP -- ALTERNATIVE 5 -- O&M RATE INCREASE with 11% Increase** |                             |                             |                           |                             |                           |                             |                           |                             |                             |                             |                             |                             |                             |                             |
| Paragraph A Single Family | $33.80 | $2.10 | $34.96 | $2.17 | $35.02 | $2.18 | $35.02 | $2.18 | $38.17 | $2.42 | $41.10 | $2.68 | $47.89 | $2.98 | $50.05 | $3.11 |
| DRAFT RATE Adjustments | 3.65% | 3.65% | 3.43% | 3.43% | 0.17% | 0.17% | N/A | N/A | 11.00% | 11.00% | 11.00% | 11.00% | 11.00% | 11.00% | 4.50% | 4.50% |
| Maximum Single Family Rate (10 CCF) | $54.80 | $56.68 | $56.78 | $56.78 | $56.78 | $63.02 | $69.95 | $77.65 | $81.14 |

| **FCS GROUP -- ALTERNATIVE 6 -- O&M RATE INCREASE with 5.5 AND 15% Increase** |                             |                             |                           |                             |                           |                             |                           |                             |                             |                             |                             |                             |                             |                             |
| Paragraph A Single Family | $33.80 | $2.10 | $34.96 | $2.17 | $35.02 | $2.18 | $35.02 | $2.18 | $36.94 | $2.30 | $38.98 | $2.42 | $44.82 | $2.78 | $51.55 | $3.20 |
| DRAFT RATE Adjustments | 3.65% | 3.65% | 3.43% | 3.43% | 0.17% | 0.17% | N/A | N/A | 5.50% | 5.50% | 5.50% | 5.50% | 5.50% | 5.50% | 15.00% | 15.00% |
| Maximum Single Family Rate (10 CCF) | $54.80 | $56.68 | $56.78 | $56.78 | $59.90 | $63.19 | $72.67 | $83.57 |                             |                             |                             |                             |                             |                             |                             |                             |
|----------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 402 635 518 | Internal Admin Service Charges | $267,328 | $237,885 | $237,885 | $249,554 | $249,554 | $237,670 | $237,670 | $220,066 | $220,066 | $331,161 |
|          | Internal Facilities Charges | $24,465 | $5,590 | $5,590 | $22,838 | $22,838 | $21,750 | $21,750 | $20,139 | $20,139 | $13,738 |
|          | Depreciation Contribution | $18,318 | $31,053 | $18,316 | $16,216 | $16,216 | $16,216 | $16,216 | $16,464 | $16,464 | $24,421 |
|          | Internal Data Processing Charges | $72,774 | $17,318 | $17,318 | $87,935 | $87,935 | $64,700 | $64,700 | $59,904 | $59,904 | $68,978 |
|          | Internal Insurance Charges | $59,900 | $29,257 | $25,141 | $55,657 | $55,657 | $47,000 | $4,700 | $51,449 | $51,449 | $24,140 |
|          | Total Central Services | $424,167 | $289,960 | $289,934 | $414,280 | $427,017 | $389,436 | $347,136 | $348,022 | $352,355 | $365,301 |
| 402 535 535 | Sewer Administration | | | | | | | | | | |
|          | Salaries & Wages | $309,532 | $295,500 | $330,727 | $254,738 | $280,401 | $242,607 | $226,128 | $204,520 | $222,253 | $191,242 |
|          | Employee Benefits | $144,346 | $131,588 | $146,779 | $93,735 | $114,227 | $76,832 | $76,219 | $66,885 | $72,335 | $54,769 |
|          | Supplies | $453,878 | $427,586 | $477,507 | $348,473 | $394,628 | $319,439 | $302,347 | $271,465 | $294,588 | $248,000 |
|          | Operating Supplies | $0 | $0 | $31 | | | | | | | |
|          | Small Tools and Equipment | $0 | $0 | $1,149 | | | | | | | |
|          | Other Services & Charges | $2,000 | $1,149 | | | | | | | | |
|          | Legal Services | $10,000 | $123,000 | | | | | | | | |
|          | Engineering Services | $54,000 | $128,000 | $36,790 | | | | | | | |
|          | Accounting/Auditing | $0 | $0 | $5,085 | | | | | | | |
|          | Other Professional Services | $10,000 | $128,000 | | | | | | | | |
|          | Total Sewer Administration | $405,253 | $484,938 | $396,107 | $361,090 | $386,625 | $320,612 | $286,233 | $251,869 | $265,869 | $221,226 |
|          | Intergovernmental Interfund Service & Tax | | | | | | | | | | |
|          | External Taxes & Operating Assessments | $55,000 | $190,062 | $54,630 | | | | | | | |
|          | Interfund Taxes & Operating Assessments | $317,378 | $455,062 | $328,255 | $346,685 | $312,080 | $333,300 | $307,855 | $328,531 | $298,600 | $270,176 |
|          | Total Sewer Administration | $362,351 | $444,520 | $382,387 | $387,775 | $358,705 | $363,952 | $334,832 | $385,397 | $365,469 | $291,395 |
| 402 335 535 | Operations and Maintenance | | | | | | | | | | |
|          | Salaries & Wages | $423,433 | $464,769 | $402,511 | | | | | | | |
|          | Overtime | $33,208 | $32,085 | $21,038 | | | | | | | |
|          | Seasonal Labor | $22,955 | $21,735 | $17,544 | | | | | | | |
|          | Benefits | $199,965 | $180,436 | $162,296 | | | | | | | |
|          | Supplies | $617,701 | $639,045 | $503,360 | $565,250 | $577,300 | $563,525 | $481,195 | | | |
|          | Operating Supplies | $56,983 | $66,940 | $49,620 | | | | | | | |
|          | Miscellaneous Small Tools & Minor Equipment | $25,014 | $42,169 | $15,426 | | | | | | | |
|          | DCS Hardware | $3,105 | $3,000 | $972 | | | | | | | |
|          | Other Services & Charges | $118,107 | $114,109 | $85,930 | | | | | | | |
|          | Utility Locates | $3,105 | $3,000 | | | | | | | | |
|          | Laundry Services | $3,105 | $3,000 | | | | | | | | |
|          | BOD Formally Databar Services | $300 | $300 | | | | | | | | |
|          | BOD Miscellaneous Professional Services | $104,618 | $101,079 | $8,102 | | | | | | | |
|          | Communications | $14,499 | $14,000 | $13,254 | | | | | | | |
|          | Travel | $3,105 | $3,000 | | | | | | | | |
|          | Advertising | $543 | $525 | | | | | | | | |
|          | Other Operating Leases | $5,439 | $5,250 | $2,081 | | | | | | | |
|          | Insurance Premiums | $775 | $750 | | | | | | | | |
|          | Total Sewer Administration | $77,625 | $75,000 | $74,474 | | | | | | | |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50.48.01</td>
<td>Repairs &amp; Maintenance</td>
<td>$23,455</td>
<td>$128,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.48.04</td>
<td>Equipment Upgrades and Maintenance</td>
<td>$24,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.48.05</td>
<td>Repairs &amp; Maintenance-Marine &amp; Repair</td>
<td>$156,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.48.06</td>
<td>Repairs &amp; Maintenance-LR Station Improvements</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.48.07</td>
<td>Repairs &amp; Maintenance-LT Station 17 Improvements</td>
<td>$143,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.48.08</td>
<td>Repairs &amp; Maintenance-Video Inspections</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.49.09</td>
<td>Other Miscellaneous</td>
<td>$4,140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Sewer O&amp;M</td>
<td>$1,409,899</td>
<td>$1,133,758</td>
<td>$823,048</td>
<td>$1,004,850</td>
<td>$783,315</td>
<td>$890,656</td>
<td>$808,690</td>
<td>$782,744</td>
<td>$719,935</td>
<td>$606,509</td>
<td>$605,506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402.035.535. Intergovernmental Interfund Services &amp; Taxes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.51.08</td>
<td>Intergovernmental Professional Services (Summer WWTF O&amp;M Charges)</td>
<td>$229,535</td>
<td>$704,866</td>
<td>$1,038,193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.51.12</td>
<td>Intergovernmental Charges</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Paid To Sumer for WWTF O&amp;M</td>
<td>$299,535</td>
<td>$904,866</td>
<td>$1,072,469</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL Sewer O&amp;M and WWTF O&amp;M</td>
<td>$2,339,435</td>
<td>$2,036,624</td>
<td>$1,895,017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL Administration and O&amp;M</td>
<td>$3,244,691</td>
<td>$3,049,074</td>
<td>$2,744,455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sewer Utility</td>
<td>$3,668,858</td>
<td>$3,338,134</td>
<td>$3,034,390</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402.035.548. Interfund Payments for Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.95.10</td>
<td>ERAR Depreciation Charges</td>
<td>$19,621</td>
<td>$33,875</td>
<td>$33,876</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68.95.20</td>
<td>ERAR Operating Rental Charges</td>
<td>$67,486</td>
<td>$74,805</td>
<td>$74,805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ERAR One Time Capital Contribution</td>
<td></td>
<td>$63,000</td>
<td>$42,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ERAR Capital Cost-Expansion of Fleet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>$1,262,940</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,262,940</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Construction Projects-Reoccurring Operations</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,188</td>
<td>$14,820</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504.00.065. Construction Projects</td>
<td>$159,488</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504.00.065. Telemetry Upgrades</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,111</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504.00.065. Sewer Lr Station Improvements</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504.00.065. Replacement &amp; Unscheduled Projects</td>
<td>$87,000</td>
<td>$11,414</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504.00.065. Video Upgrades-2009     See kees re 46.61</td>
<td>$12,954</td>
<td>$16,462</td>
<td>$55,469</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>504.72.065. Manhole Repair</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$5,999</td>
<td>$26,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recurring Capital/Operations</td>
<td>$179,030</td>
<td>$311,802</td>
<td>$151,188</td>
<td>$65,388</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TOTAL Sewer Utility + ERAR Charges</td>
<td>$5,040,470</td>
<td>$3,621,815</td>
<td>$4,717,129</td>
<td>$2,824,506</td>
<td>$4,482,705</td>
<td>$3,434,871</td>
<td>$4,246,299</td>
<td>$3,883,803</td>
<td>$4,037,324</td>
<td>$3,823,368</td>
<td>$3,744,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Sewer Customers</td>
<td>$539</td>
<td>$539</td>
<td>$539</td>
<td>$539</td>
<td>$539</td>
<td>$539</td>
<td>$539</td>
<td>$539</td>
<td>$539</td>
<td>$539</td>
<td>$539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sewer Cost per Connection per year</td>
<td>$940.56</td>
<td>$860.35</td>
<td>$841.19</td>
<td>$819.08</td>
<td>$813.42</td>
<td>$821.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sewer Cost per Connection per month</td>
<td>$78.38</td>
<td>$73.36</td>
<td>$70.10</td>
<td>$68.26</td>
<td>$67.79</td>
<td>$68.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Availability Charge (Fixed/Flat Rate)</td>
<td>Volumetric/Use Charge (Variable Rate with 10 CCF Cap)</td>
<td>MAX TOTAL</td>
<td>% Increase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$31.30</td>
<td>$19.50</td>
<td>$50.80</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$31.90</td>
<td>$19.90</td>
<td>$51.80</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$32.60</td>
<td>$20.30</td>
<td>$52.90</td>
<td>2.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$33.80</td>
<td>$21.00</td>
<td>$54.80</td>
<td>3.65%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$34.96</td>
<td>$21.70</td>
<td>$56.66</td>
<td>3.43%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$35.02</td>
<td>$21.80</td>
<td>$56.82</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At 7.5 CCF Average Water Consumption: $51.37

**Sumner 2010 = $65.51**  **Buckley 2010 = $65.55**

### FCSG -- Rate Increase Alternative A2 - Even Adjustments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Availability Charge (Fixed/Flat Rate)</th>
<th>Volumetric/Use Charge (Variable Rate with 10 CCF Cap)</th>
<th>MAX TOTAL</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$38.17</td>
<td>$23.76</td>
<td>$61.93</td>
<td>9.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$41.61</td>
<td>$25.90</td>
<td>$67.51</td>
<td>9.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$45.35</td>
<td>$28.23</td>
<td>$73.58</td>
<td>9.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$48.98</td>
<td>$30.49</td>
<td>$79.47</td>
<td>8.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$52.90</td>
<td>$32.93</td>
<td>$85.83</td>
<td>8.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$46.71</td>
<td>$33.92</td>
<td>$80.63</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FCSG -- Rate Increase Alternative A3 - High then Low

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Availability Charge (Fixed/Flat Rate)</th>
<th>Volumetric/Use Charge (Variable Rate with 10 CCF Cap)</th>
<th>MAX TOTAL</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$38.87</td>
<td>$24.20</td>
<td>$63.07</td>
<td>11.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$43.15</td>
<td>$26.86</td>
<td>$70.01</td>
<td>11.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$47.89</td>
<td>$30.61</td>
<td>$78.50</td>
<td>11.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$50.16</td>
<td>$31.16</td>
<td>$81.32</td>
<td>4.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$52.05</td>
<td>$32.40</td>
<td>$84.45</td>
<td>4.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$53.61</td>
<td>$33.37</td>
<td>$86.99</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FCSG -- Rate Increase Alternative A4 - Low then High

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Availability Charge (Fixed/Flat Rate)</th>
<th>Volumetric/Use Charge (Variable Rate with 10 CCF Cap)</th>
<th>MAX TOTAL</th>
<th>% Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$36.95</td>
<td>$23.00</td>
<td>$59.95</td>
<td>5.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$38.98</td>
<td>$24.26</td>
<td>$63.24</td>
<td>5.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$44.82</td>
<td>$27.90</td>
<td>$72.73</td>
<td>15.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$51.55</td>
<td>$32.09</td>
<td>$83.64</td>
<td>15.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$54.38</td>
<td>$33.85</td>
<td>$88.24</td>
<td>5.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$56.02</td>
<td>$34.87</td>
<td>$90.88</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:**
1. The earlier rate increases are imposed, the less the Maximum Total amount will be in six years.
2. Starting the rate increase in mid-year, say July 2010, at 50% of the 2011 increase will reduce the impact of the first full year increase in 2011 by 50%. It will also reduce the Maximum Total amount paid in six years.