Call to Order: Mayor Neil Johnson

Roll Call:
Mayor Neil Johnson, Deputy Mayor Dan Swatman, Councilmember David Bowen, Councilmember Phil DeLeo, Councilmember Mark Hamilton, Councilmember Dave King, Councilmember Cheryle Noble and Councilmember James Rackley.

Expected Staff Members: Administrative Services Coordinator Don Morrison, Director of Planning and Community Development Bob Leedy, Public Works Director Daniel Grigsby, Interim Police Chief Buster McGehee, Interim Finance Director Joe McGovern, Judge James Helbling, City Attorney Jim Dionne and City Clerk Harwood Edvalson.

Agenda Items

1. Action: AB06-76 – A Motion of the City Council of Bonney Lake, WA, Confirming the Mayor’s Appointment of Beth Anne Wroe as Chief Financial Officer. (5 Mins.)


3. Presentation: Fire District Annexations - Impacts. (60 Mins.)

4. Presentation: SR410-OSB Hwy Intersection Improvement Project (Transpo Group). (45 Mins.)

5. Department Reports. (15 Mins.)

6. Council Open Discussion. (20 Mins.)

7. Review of Minutes from March 7th City Council Workshop and March 14th City Council Meeting. (5 Mins.)

8. Executive Session: RCW 42.30.110(2) - “Before convening in executive session, the presiding officer of a governing body shall publicly announce the purpose for excluding the public from the meeting place, and the time when the executive session will be concluded.”


Times are estimates only and not intended to limit discussion.
City of Bonney Lake, Washington
Council Agenda Bill (C.A.B.) Approval Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department/Staff Contact:</th>
<th>Council/Wrkshp Mtg Date:</th>
<th>Agenda Bill Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jenna Young/Human Resources</td>
<td>March 21, 2006</td>
<td>AB06-67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ordinance Number:</th>
<th>Resolution Number</th>
<th>Councilmember Sponsor:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**BUDGET INFORMATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2006 Budget Amount</th>
<th>Required Expenditure</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Remaining Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Explanation:**
The job offer was made in anticipations of the reorganization and is consistent with the new job title of Chief Financial Officer and proposed salary table.

**Agenda Subject:** Confirmation of Chief Financial Officer.

**Administrative Recommendation:**
Approve appointment of Beth Anne Wroe as Chief Financial Officer.

**Background Summary:**
Mayor Johnson has appointed Beth Anne Wroe as Chief Financial Officer, subject to Council approval. Her resume is attached. She was interviewed by two panels consisting of Don Morrison, Councilmember Jim Rackley, Alan Doerschel, Joe Montgomery, Jenna Young, Dan Grigsby, Debbie Crosier, Chuck McEwen and Barbara Barth. She has also met with the Finance Committee and Mayor Johnson. Beth Anne has excellent experience and was the only candidate interviewed who is a Certified Public Accountant. We believe that Beth Anne will make an excellent Chief Financial Officer. We recommend that Council confirm the appointment of Ms. Wroe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Committee Dates:</th>
<th>Commission Dates:</th>
<th>Board/Hearing Examiner Dates:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finance Committee:</td>
<td>Planning Commission:</td>
<td>Park Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Committee:</td>
<td>Civil Service Commission:</td>
<td>Hearing Examiner:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Development &amp; Planning Committee: 3/20/06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Workshop:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Council Action:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Call for Hearing:</th>
<th>Council Hearings Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Referred Back to:</th>
<th>Workshop:</th>
<th>Committee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Council Tabled Until:</td>
<td>Council Meeting Dates:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Signatures:**
Dept. Dir. [Signature]  Mayor [Signature]  Date City Attorney reviewed Standard
Beth Anne Wroe

EXPERIENCE

City of Tacoma
Internal Budget Consultant, Office of Management, Budget & Analysis
August 2005 to present
Annual Salary $70,500
Primary duties are to review and analyze budgets for a group of city departments and funds, provide technical expertise to operating departments and provide meaningful reports to assist managers. Analyze and monitor emerging budget trends affecting various departments and funds. Research, analyze, develop, and present recommendations and alternatives to budget issues. Meet and coordinate with cross-functional teams to address policy and process issues. Assist in the preparation of the proposed budget consistent with the priorities of elected leaders. Provide training and assist departments on the budget process and budget system.

City of Tacoma
Financial Supervisor, Finance Department
September 2004 to August 2005
Annual Salary $66,400
Responsible for the planning, implementation, and day-to-day management of all fiscal operations of the Greater Tacoma Convention & Trade Center (GTCTC). Developed and monitored the GTCTC's $23.2 million biennial budget. Monitored and reported financial status compared to the long range financial plan including revenue and expense projections to assure compliance with revenue bond covenants. Areas of responsibility included purchasing, contract management and maintenance of public debt. Responsible for the supervision of the finance department's staff working at the GTCTC; including training, motivation, and evaluations of employees work performance and making recommendations on hiring and termination.

City of Tacoma
Senior Financial Analyst, Finance Department
September 2001 to August 2004
Annual Salary $58,900
Responsible for managing the budgeting and accounting functions for the $120.7 million capital project to build the new convention center and related parking projects. Implemented an unique funding program for the Convention Center and Related Parking Projects. Project construction was financed using a $120.7 million Commercial Paper Program, providing low cost financing and minimizing the impact on the City's non-voted legal debt capacity. Implemented the long range financial plan including revenue and expense projections for three main funding sources. The City's bond rating was increased. $84.875 million of the Commercial Paper Program has been retired to long term LTGO and Revenue Bond Debt. Coordinated with external groups such as bond counsel, bond underwriter, bank officials and financial advisory consultants. In addition, assisted in the business system design & implementation for the Convention Center and Related Parking Projects budgeting and accounting from the City's old Legacy system to the new SAP computer program.

City of Bonney Lake
Deputy Finance Director
October 2000 - August 2001
Annual Salary $67,600
Completed the city’s 1999 financial statements and assisted in the audit process. Developed the 2001 budget, attended council study sessions and made presentations to elected officials. Assisted the Finance Director in the daily management of the department involving accounting, budgeting and cash management, as well as, providing technical expertise to various City departments, administrators and governing bodies.
Beth Anne Wroe
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Sabbatical
July 1997 to June 2000
Lived in Lytham-St. Anne's, Lancashire, United Kingdom

Federal Way School District
April 1996 to March 1997
Senior Financial Analyst
Primary duties included responsibility for maintaining the general ledger, assist in the preparation of the annual financial statements assuring compliance with GAAP. Researched, analyzed and prepared documents for the capital facilities plan as part of the district's budget and for executive body review. Monitored department and individual schools' budgets to actuals and reported on any significant variances. Additional responsibilities included supervision of the payroll staff of four; responsible for evaluating employees work performance.

Pierce County Housing Authority
January 1995 to March 1996
Assistant Chief Financial Officer
Managed Authority's activities for fiscal and accounting processes including financial statements, accounts receivable, and accounts payable, general ledger, payroll, and budget and cash management. Monitored $23 million annual operating budget with the majority of the funding provided by the federal government. Responsible for preparing official statements for various bond issues, up to $25 million and cost benefit analysis for financing of multi-family units. Responsible for managing the U.S. Department HUD Section 8 Grant. Primary duties included supervision of the Finance Department's staff of nine accounting clerks and responsible for training, motivating, and evaluating employees work performance.

State Auditor's Office
November 1984 to December 1994
State Examiner 2, 3 and 4
Increasing responsibility in planning, conducting and managing financial and compliance audits of local and state governments throughout Washington, as well as, supervising audit staff. Gained a breath of experience in evaluating different types of policies and procedures for local governments, as well as accounting systems.

Education
University of Portland, Portland, Oregon
Bachelor of Business Administration, Major: Accounting – May 1983

Professional Certification
Certified Public Accountant - WA CPA Certificate #11274 issued January 1987

Professional Associations
Washington Society of Certified Public Accountants (WSCPA)
Washington Finance Officers Association (WFOA)

*Documents will be provided at the City Council Workshop.*
ITEM #3: Presentation: Fire District Annexations - Impacts.

*Documents attached.*
February 21, 2006

MEMORANDUM

TO: Affected Agencies/Interested Parties

FROM: Toni Fairbanks, Chief Clerk
Pierce County Boundary Review Board

RE: Case No. G-06-1
Fire District Merger – (Districts 12 and 20 into District 22)

Enclosed for your information are a map, legal description, and basic information for the proposed annexation. The official filing date for this case has been set as February 14, 2006. If the Board's jurisdiction is not invoked within 45 days of the filing date, pursuant to RCW 52.06.030, the proposed action will be presented to the voters of the merging districts at a special election.

If you have concerns of which you feel the Board should be aware, please send them to us. If you wish to invoke the Board's jurisdiction (and are eligible, pursuant to RCW 36.93.100(2)), that must be done in writing accompanied by a $200 fee before the 45-day period expires on March 31, 2006.

If you have questions, please call me at (253) 798-7156. The complete file is available for review in my office, 2401 So. 35th Street, Tacoma.

C:\brb-45
Enclosure
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MERGE PIERCE COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION
DISTRICT #12 AND DISTRICT #20 INTO
PIERCE COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT #22

DIRECT NOTICES TO: DAVE WAKEFIELD
ASSISTANT CHIEF
EAST PIERCE FIRE & RESCUE
18421 SUMNER-BUCKLEY HWY E.
BONNEY LAKE, WA 98390
PHONE: 253-863-1800

I. BACKGROUND/MAPS

1. A brief description of and reason for seeking the proposed action. Include a statement of the method used to initiate the proposed action (i.e., petition or election method), and the complete RCW designation.

Pierce County Fire Protection District (PCFPD) No. 22, PCFPD No. 12 and PCFPD No. 20, are formally expressing their intent to place a ballot measure before the voters to merge Fire District 12 and Fire District 20 into Fire District 22. The merger would be processed pursuant to RCW 52.06.010-52.06-085, with Districts 12 and 20 being the Merging Districts, and District 22 being the Merger District.

Part of District 22’s mission statement professes that it supports “regionalized fire services for improved public safety.” This regionalization of fire services can improve operations through more efficient service delivery, a goal for which agencies serving the public should always strive. To carry out this mission and promote a more streamlined use of public resources, in 2003 PCFP Districts 12, 20 and 22 began providing complete fire and EMS services together under a Tri-District Interlocal Agreement that consolidated operations.

The three districts continue to prepare individual budgets, yet they also produce an annual joint-operating budget. This proposed Tri-District Merger under RCW 52.06 would complete the unification process of fire and EMS services, eliminating the duplication of services and continued redundancy that still exists—such as preparing individual and joint budgets.

The Fire Commissioners for District 22, the Fire Commissioners for District 20, and the Fire Commissioners for District 12, find that formalizing the Tri-District Merger by dissolving District 12 and 20’s boundaries and recognizing District 22 as a new taxing district, will increase the efficiency and effectiveness of fire protection to the citizens served, and that the public interest will benefit greatly by this action.

Attached:

- EXHIBIT A: Interlocal Agreement for Consolidation of Administration and Operations between District 22 and 20, signed April 15, 2003
- EXHIBIT B: Interlocal Consolidation Agreement, signed May 20, 2003 (This agreement adds District 12 to Interlocal Agreement for Consolidation of Administration and Operations between District 22 and 20)

2. A signed and certified copy of the initial resolution/ordinance accepting the proposal as officially passed, together with a copy of the petition (unsigned). Include a copy of the letter certifying the petition from the Assessor (petition method) or Auditor (election method).

- On November 21, 2005, Pierce County Fire Protection District 12 and Fire District 20 each filed a Petition for Merger with the Board of Fire Commissioners requesting that, pursuant to RCW 52.06.020, they be merged with Fire District 22.
- In response, the Board of Fire Commissioners for PCFPD 22 accepted and approved the Petitions for Merger by passing Resolutions 492 and 493, respectively, also dated November 21, 2005.

1 - Interlocal Agreement for Consolidation of Administration and Operations between District 22 and 20, signed April 15, 2003
- Interlocal Consolidation Agreement, signed May 20, 2003 (This agreement adds District 12 to Interlocal Agreement for Consolidation of Administration and Operations between District 22 and 20)
• Certified copies of the above four documents are attached to this Notice of Intent.
• Pursuant to RCW 52.06.030, there is no elector-signed petition, therefore there are no petitions that must be certified by the Assessor or Auditor.
• A certified copy of Resolution 06-02 from PCFPD 12 and Resolution 03-2006 from PCFPD 20 will be provided to the Boundary Review Board upon their execution at the February 21, 2006 Board of Commissioners meeting. The Resolutions will formally request that the Pierce County Auditor place the merger proposal on the May 16, 2006 special election ballot, however, they will not be filed with the Auditor’s office until March 31, 2006 when the BRB’s 45-day review period is complete (assuming jurisdiction is not invoked).

Attached (all certified copies):
• EXHIBIT C: Petition for Merger – from PCFPD #12 into #22, 11/21/05
• EXHIBIT D: Petition for Merger – from PCFPD #20 into #22, 11/21/05
• EXHIBIT E: District 22 Resolution 492 accepting District 12’s Petition for Merger, 11/21/05
• EXHIBIT F: District 22 Resolution 493 accepting District 20’s Petition for Merger, 11/21/05

3. A copy of the Declaration and current SEPA checklist with adequate explanations to answers, including Section D. Government Non-Project Actions, when applicable. Pursuant to RCW 43.21C.222, annexations to cities or towns are exempted from SEPA compliance.

• Resolution 01-2006 was passed by PCFPD #20 on January 5, 2006 appointing Dave Wakefield, Assistant Chief, as its SEPA Responsible Official.
• Resolution 06-01 was passed by PCFPD #12 on January 5, 2006 appointing Dave Wakefield, Assistant Chief, as its SEPA Responsible Official.
• On February 1, 2006, an Environmental Checklist was completed for this proposed Tri-District Merger and signed by the SEPA Responsible Official.
• The Findings of Fact supported the Responsible Official’s determination that there will be no probable significant adverse environmental impacts as a result of this proposal.
• A Determination of Nonsignificance (DNS) was issued under WAC 197-11-340(2) on February 1, 2006.
• SEPA notification was done in accordance with the law, including notification to interested/impacted agencies at various levels, publication in a newspaper of record and publication in the Department of Ecology SEPA Registry.
• Pursuant to RCW 43.21C.075, decisions of the Responsible Official may be appealed to the Pierce County Superior Court.

Attached:
• EXHIBIT G:
  • Resolution 01-2006 appointing Dave Wakefield, Assistant Chief, as SEPA Responsible Official by PCFPD #20
  • Resolution 06-01 appointing Dave Wakefield, Assistant Chief, as SEPA Responsible Official by PCFPD #12
• EXHIBIT H:
  • Cover letter, mailing labels, vicinity map used in SEPA notification mailing, 2/2/06
  • Determination of Nonsignificance, 2/1/06
  • Environmental Checklist, 2/1/06

4. The legal description of the perimeter boundaries of the area involved in the proposed action. This must be legible, on a separate page from any other document, and in a form capable of reproduction by standard copiers.

Please see attached legal description signed, stamped and dated, David A. Berg/Surveyor, 2/9/06. Two sets of ATR quarter section maps with boundaries highlighted and an earlier version of this legal description were previously submitted on January 23, 2006 to the Boundary Review Board for verification. Mr. DePaul officially approved the legal description on February 9, 2006 in an email sent to Toni Fairbanks, clerk for the Boundary Review Board. That official approved version of the legal is included with this NOI document.

Attached:
• EXHIBIT I: Legal Description for Tri-District Fire Merger (proposed District #22) – prepared by David A. Berg, Surveyor, dated 2/9/06
• EXHIBIT J: Email from Tony DePaul, Pierce Co. ATR, GIS Specialist, to Toni Fairbanks, Pierce Co. PALS, BRB Clerk, verifying that the legal description attached was approved/verified, dated 2/9/06.
5. The following maps:

A. Two sets only of the Pierce County Assessor’s quarter section map or maps on which the boundary of the area involved in the proposal must be clearly indicated.

Two sets of approximately 125 ATR quarter section maps for the proposed Tri-District Merger area were submitted on January 23, 2006 along with a legal description for verification. Tony DePaul, GIS Specialist with ATR, and Toni Fairbanks, BRB Clerk, have each retained a set. No further copies are required at this time.

B. Vicinity map(s) no larger than 8-1/2 x 14 inches displaying:

1) The boundary of the area involved in the proposal.
2) The size in acres.
3) The entity corporate limits in relationship to the proposal.
4) The location of the nearest service point(s) for the required utility services to the area.
5) Major physical features such as bodies of water, major streets and highways.
6) The boundaries of all cities or special purpose districts (to include [if applicable] any water, sewer, fire, school or library district) having jurisdiction in or near the proposal. Include all utility districts whose comprehensive plans include all or any part of the proposal, even if only in a planning area.

Police. Police service in the proposed Tri-District Merger area is provided by the Pierce County Sheriff’s Office and Bonney Lake Police.

Library. The entire merger area is served by the Pierce County Library System.

Attached:

MAP 1: Vicinity Map illustrating proposed Tri-District Merger boundaries, size in acres (approximately 82,000), surrounding cities, fire districts, water bodies and arterials.

5.(B)(4). Utility Maps:
• MAP 2: City of Bonney Lake Water System Map 2005
• MAP 3: City of Bonney Lake Sewer System Map 2005
• MAP 4: City of Sumner Utility Map (Water)
• MAP 5: City of Sumner Utility Map (Sewer)
• MAP 6: Tri-District Merger: Water Districts and Water Utility Map – illustrating water systems, water lines and fire hydrants in and around merger area (detailed City of Sumner and City of Bonney Lake water system maps provided)
• MAP 7: Tri-District Merger: Sewer Districts and Sewer Utility Map – illustrating sewer districts and sewer lines in and around merger area (detailed City of Sumner and City of Bonney Lake sewer system maps provided)

6.(B)(6). Special Purpose Districts Maps:

MAP 8: School Districts Map
The following School Districts are located within the Tri-District Merger area: Sumner (320), Dieringer (343), White River (416), Orting (344) and Carbonado (019).

Water Districts Map
The following are the primary/active Water Districts located within the Tri-District Merger area:
City of Bonney Lake
Tacoma Water
Beau View Water
Lake Tapps North Park Water System
East End Lake Tapps Rod and Gun Club
Tapps Island Water
Winchester Heights
Marion Water Co.
See MAP 6 for additional water systems/franchises in and around Merger area.
Sewer Districts Map

The City of Bonney Lake is the primary sewer provider in the Merger area; the majority of unincorporated Pierce Co. is on septic systems with the exception of a few subdivisions on sewer—though they are still serviced by Bonney Lake Sewer District. The Buckley, South Prairie, Orting, Auburn and Sumner Sewer Districts provide sewer service to cities and towns outside the fire merger area. The planned community of Cascadia in the southern portion of District 22 will be serviced with sewer by the City of Orting initially, then will eventually develop their own sewer service district.

7) If a boundary service agreement has been formalized between two or more jurisdictions, that service line should be shown with the appropriate entity noted in each service area.

The following are formal agreements between between Districts 22, 12 or 20 and adjacent cities:

- Pierce County Fire Protection District No. 22, City of Sumner and Pierce County Fire Protection District No. 1 Interlocal Cooperation Agreement for Administrative Services, effective April 1, 2005. (Fire District 22 provides administration of services to Fire District 1, Sumner)
- Resolution 234 – A Resolution of the Town of South Prairie, Pierce County, Washington Authorizing the Mayor to Execute an Interlocal Agreement for Fire and Emergency Medical Services and Use of the Fire Station; and an Interim Interlocal Agreement for Fire Protection and Lease of Fire Station with Pierce County Fire District No. 20, November 4, 2003.
- Resolution 242 – A Resolution of the Town of South Prairie, Pierce County, Washington Authorizing the Mayor to Execute Addendum No. 1 to Interlocal Agreement for Fire and Emergency Medical Services and Use of Fire Department Facilities, April 6, 2004 (Addendum signed April 6, 2004 extending terms of Agreement for District No. 20 to provide services through 1/31/2013).
- Interlocal Agreement for Fire and Emergency Medical Services and Use of Fire Department Facilities, between Pierce County Fire District No. 12 and the Town of Wilkeson, November 17, 1999. (Effect. 1/1/2000 for 20 yrs.)
- Interlocal Agreement for Fire and Emergency Medical Services and Use of Fire Station, between Pierce County Fire District No. 20 and the Town of South Prairie, November 4, 2003. (Effect. 1/1/2004 - 12/31/2008 and automatically renewed for five years if not terminated or changed).

8) Tax lot(s) that will be divided by the proposed boundaries should be shown on an attached detailed map.

The proposed merger of District 12 and District 20 into District 22 creates no changes to the current boundaries of any of the three jurisdictions, other than extending the boundaries of District 22 to include the area presently served by Districts 12 and 20. Therefore there will be no division of tax parcels resulting from this proposal.

II. FACTORS THE BOARD MUST CONSIDER

Entities should respond to the following elements regarding this proposal with sufficient information for the Board’s understanding. These elements relate to the factors the Board must consider as outlined in RCW 36.93.170 (attached).

I. Overview

A. Population of proposal; what percentage is that to existing entity?

POPULATION OF PROPOSED TRI-DISTRICT MERGER AREA—CURRENT AND PROJECTED.3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District 22</th>
<th>District 12</th>
<th>District 20</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45,540</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47,134</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48,784</td>
<td>7,931</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60,835</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Copies of Agreements available upon request.

The 2003 population estimate of 44,000 for District 22 was derived from 2000 Census blocks, then adding 2001 and 2002 land use/permitting information (housing types x Persons Per Household "PPH" figures). Annual population projections are based on an annual increase of 3.5% from 2003-2006, then 3.0% from 2007-2025. Prior to merger, District 22 projected annual increases of 3-5%. However, the addition of Districts 12 & 20, which are more rural with less urbanized zoning, may slow the overall growth rate resulting in a reduction of the district's growth projection to 3.0% annually.
Continued from previous table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>62,660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68,470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74,819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77,064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89,338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92,018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94,779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97,622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106,675</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Combined districts)

**TRI-DISTRICT MERGER AREA POPULATION PROJECTION BASED ON TRANSPORTATION ANALYSIS ZONES (TAZ)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low Estimate</th>
<th>High Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44,312</td>
<td>131,164</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A conservative 2006 population estimate for the Tri-District Merger area is approximately 60,835.

The growth rate of 3.0% used by the Fire District generates a 2025 population estimate of 106,675. However, somewhat more refined data based on TAZ zones, existing land use, permitting data and future land use designations suggest that the 2025 population will be approximately 131,164. The Washington State Office of Financial Management may begin performing population projections for special districts, including fire districts. In that case, more accurate figures may be obtained and tracked annually as they are with cities and counties.

The further out a projection is, the more its accuracy is degraded. The reality is that the actual 2025 population will probably end up being somewhere between the two figures – the fire district’s estimate of 106,675 that is based on an annual growth rate of 3% beginning with a 2006 base population estimate of 60,835, and the 2025 projection of 131,164 generated by using TAZ level data. It is important to note that the TAZ level data only produces housing unit estimates. The population figures are estimated by multiplying housing units by “persons per household” figures from the last decennial census (2000) rates in the area. Each method contains flaws and both will need to be continually modified as growth trends change and better data becomes available.

**B. Territory (number of acres)**

The proposed Tri-District merger area boundary is approximately 82,000 acres, or 128.13 square miles. Any difference in size between other reported acreage by the Districts may be attributable to measurement errors (i.e. excluding acreage from a river because legal boundaries stop on the edge of a river), but also due to exclusions of land from the Fire District boundaries due to annexations (City of Auburn annexed land into their District and removed it from District 22), and other minor mapping corrections.

---

4 TAZ zones are based on Pierce Co., and somewhat on Puget Sound Regional Council transportation data. The data was further refined by the Transpo Group, traffic engineers, and the City of Bonney Lake, based on known land uses throughout the area, housing/permitting data, and the 2025 projections used the City’s future land use designations from its Comprehensive Plan. The attribute data provides housing units only – not population. Population was then calculated by multiplying household units by “persons per household” (PPH) from the 2000 Census. The PPH number was from the City of Bonney Lake demographics – 2.96. The same 2.96 PPH was used as the assumption for population calculations for the entire Tri-District merger area. Data was generated by “clipping” TAZ zones to the .shp file of the fire district merger boundary. TAZ map and attribute table available upon request.
C. Population density

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population Density</th>
<th>Tri-District Merger</th>
<th>Future Land Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60,835</td>
<td>82,000</td>
<td>128.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>474.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.74 persons/acre</td>
<td>474.79 persons/sq. mile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Assessed valuation

Total assessed value for the proposed Tri-District merger area is approximately $4,862,914,820.5

2. Land Use

A. Existing
B. Proposed: immediate or long-range

Given the extensive size of the proposed fire district merger area (approximately 82,000 acres), there is an exhaustive number of land uses throughout – both existing land uses and future/proposed land uses. Please refer to the attached maps to view the land uses in the proposed merger area.

Attached:
- MAP 9: Tri-District Merger: Current Land Use (using Pierce Co. Assessor-Treasurer 4-digit land use codes designate existing land use for each tax parcel)
- MAP 10: City of Bonney Lake: Future Land Use Plan, dated March 1, 2005 (designates future land use designations – refer to City of BL zoning for existing land use/zoning)

3. Comprehensive Plans Franchise(s)

A. Pierce County Comprehensive Plan/Ordinances

1) What comprehensive plan policies specifically support this proposal?
The proposed fire district merger is not a planning proposal and therefore not subject to the Pierce Co. Comprehensive Plan, but there are policies contained in it that support the idea of providing efficient delivery of services and promoting urban growth where services are readily available.

Pierce Co. Code Title 19A – Comprehensive Plan
- Chapter 19A.10 – Growth Management Planning - 19A.10.010(A.) Urban Growth. Encourage development in urban areas where adequate public facilities and services exist or can be provided in an efficient manner.
- 19A.20.050(C.) Contain urban sprawl by designating an urban/rural boundary and focusing infrastructure development in proposed employment centers and near cities and towns where a full range of urban services are available.

2) Which community plan (adopted or proposed) governs this proposal? Not applicable.

---

5 AV is determined by adding LAND VALUE + IMPROVEMENT VALUE as obtained from tax parcel data using Pierce Co. GIS Countyview database on February 2, 2006 ("assessed value" is not the same as "taxable value"). However, this figure was obtained from 22,349 tax parcels that only totaled 79,242 acres. The proposed Tri-District merger area totals approximately 82,000 acres (resulting in a difference of 2,758 acres). The difference in acreage can be explained primarily because land comprising right-of-ways (ROW) is not considered an official tax parcel, and to a lesser extent, because tax parcels often stop at the edge of a river – thereby excluding the entire river from measurement. In the case of Lake Tapps, Puget Sound Energy owns the tax parcels making up the lake bed so the acreage of the entire lake (approximately 2,702 acres) is already included in both the 79,242 and 82,000 totals. That said, only tax parcels have an assigned value so the "assessed value" listed here should be accurate.
3) **What is the adopted plan classification/zoning?**
Given the extensive size of the proposed fire district merger area, there is an exhaustive number of zoning districts applied throughout. Refer to the following maps to view the zoning details in the proposed merger area:

*Attached:*
- MAP 11: Tri-District Merger: Current Zoning Map (using Pierce Co. zoning layer throughout proposed merger area – excluding the City of Bonney Lake)
- MAP 12: City of Bonney Lake: Official Zoning Map, dated October 13, 2005

**B. Proponent’s Comprehensive Plan/Franchise**

1) **Is this proposal in your adopted Comprehensive Plan, or will a plan amendment be required? If so, when will that amendment be completed?**

Fire Districts are not required to prepare or adopt comprehensive or strategic plans. However, they have other planning documents that are often updated annually and approved by the Board of Fire Commissioners. The primary planning document for District #22 is the *Standards of Coverage Deployment Plan, November 1, 2003.* This document was prepared and adopted by District #22, but is now used as the policy and operations manual for all three districts. The Plan looks at community risk assessment, service level objectives, critical tasking and response force requirements, capital facilities, staffing levels, response times, standard measures for fire departments, and provides policy guidance for resource procurement and allocation. **Section Two/The Mission, Goals and Objectives section of this document states that:** "...With the initiation of the Interlocal agreement with Fire Districts 12 & 20, it is recommended that this portion of the plan be developed with those jurisdictions to accomplish a true response plan for the Tri-District consolidation...".

Though originally drafted as a requirement for achieving accreditation through the Commission on Fire Accreditation International, the **Deployment Plan** is essentially the “Comprehensive Plan” for the jointly operating fire districts.

2) **When was your Comprehensive Plan approved? Not applicable.**
3) **Is a franchise required to provide service to this area? No.**
4) **Has this area been the subject of a Pre-Annexation Zoning Agreement? If so, please enclose a signed copy of the agreement. Not applicable.**
5) **What is the proposed land use designation in your adopted Comprehensive Plan? When were your proposed zoning regulations adopted? Not applicable.**

**4. Planning Data**

**A. Revenues/Expenditures**

1) **Estimate City expenditures. Not applicable.**
2) **Estimate City revenues to be gained. Not applicable.**
3) **Estimate County revenues lost. None.**
4) **Estimate County expenditure reduction. None.**
5) **Estimate fire district revenue lost**
6) **Estimate fire district expenditure reduction**

All fire districts are statutorily recognized as junior taxing districts and have limited revenue options. The Fire Commissioners set the levy rate to be assessed by Pierce County on the properties within jurisdictional boundaries. Most fire districts use a rate of $1.00 - $1.50 per $1000 of assessed value. Excess levies and General Obligation Bonds are other funding tools, but all require voter approval. A few organizations use a Fire Benefit Charge (FBC) with a lower levy rate. The FBC consists of a formula based on an adjusted rate for risk assessment on like structures rather than assessed value. The fire district establishes the formula and rates, but still requires voter approval to implement this type of funding source. The property owners within the City of Bonney Lake are currently paying for a General Obligation Bond (G.O. Bond) for the Public Safety Building; the bond will be paid off in the year 2011. The Public Safety Building houses the Bonney Lake Police Department and is the headquarters station for PCFPD 22.

---

6 RCW 84.52.069 establishes the levy limit for EMS services; RCW 52.16.130, RCW 52.16.140 and RCW 52.16.160 jointly establish levy limits for fire service – each one authorizing up to a $0.50 lid for a total of $1.50 combined limit.
State Initiative 747, passed by voters in 2002 limits the increase in the budget to 1% annually. This limit has a negative impact on department revenue. However, the growth from new construction is exempt from the limits imposed by I-747. Unfortunately, the new construction increase does not offset the decrease in revenue.

There will be no revenue lost or gained as a direct result of this Tri-District merger. As stated previously, the three Districts have been operating jointly for three years – including sharing a joint operating budget. Despite the joint budget, the Districts are still recognized as their own legal taxing districts and as such, have their own levy rates. If a simple majority of voters approve the merger in the special election requested by the Districts (5/16/06), after the results are certified by the canvassing board on 5/26/06, the Board of Fire Commissioners will hold a special meeting that same night and adopt concurrent resolutions per RCW 52.06.050 declaring the Districts merged under the name of the Merger District 22. Thereafter, the Merging Districts (12 and 20) will be considered legally dissolved. The merger is effective upon certification of the election results, which in turn officially establishes District 22 as the new taxing district. Assuming a positive election and certified results, the creation of the new taxing district will occur prior to June 1, 2006 as required by RCW 84.09.030. The importance of this RCW and the recognition of District 22 as a new taxing district by June 1 is significant because District 22 will be permitted to begin using one combined levy rate for its new merged District as early as 2007, rather than waiting until 2008. While the overall result should not impact revenue to the District either way, it is possible that levy rates for individual property owners may change slightly because Districts 12 and 20 will no longer exist as taxing entities. WAC 458-19-030 establishes limitations for the first levy of consolidating taxing districts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISTRICT</th>
<th>2005 RATES (PER $1,000 - ASSESSED VALUATION)</th>
<th>2006 RATES (PER $1,000 - ASSESSED VALUATION)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fire/EMS</td>
<td>Fire/EMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>$1.50/$0.30</td>
<td>$1.50/$0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$0.9451/$0.4867</td>
<td>$0.8218/$0.4352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$1.50/$0.4822</td>
<td>$1.50/$0.050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Services (following approval)

1) Water (Current provider? Who will provide following approval?)
   a) Directly or by contract? Not applicable.
   b) Storage location(s), capacity? Not applicable.
   c) Mains to serve the area (diameter, location)? Not applicable.
   d) Pressure station location and measured flow? Not applicable.
   e) Capacity available (amount)? Not applicable.
   f) Water source (wells, Tacoma, etc.)? Not applicable.
   g) Financing of proposed service (LID, ULID, Developer Extension, etc.)? Developer extension.

2) Sewer (Current provider? Who will provide following approval?)
   a) Directly or by contract? Not applicable.
   b) Mains to service the area (diameter, location)? Not applicable.
   c) Gravity or Lift Station required? Not applicable.
   d) Disposal (city or district treatment plan)? Not applicable.
   e) Capacity available (amount)? Not applicable.

3) Fire service (Current provider? Who will provide following approval?)
   a) Nearest station(s)?
   b) Response time?
   c) Are they fully manned? How many part-time and full-time personnel?
   d) Major equipment at station location (including type & # of emergency vehicles)?
   e) How many fully certified EMT/D-Fib personnel do you have?
   f) What fire rating applies?
   g) Source of dispatch?

---

7 "Assessed valuation" as determined by Pierce Co. Assessor-Treasurer is generally updated once/calendar year. (1) ATR provides the District with AV total for entire District at beginning of calendar year; (2) Board of Commissioners create budget; (3) levies are re-established (up or down depending on total revenue increase due to assessed valuation x levy rates (cannot be more than 1% increase each year due to I-747 limitation). If Board chooses to do a "lid lift" and increase levy rates, they must ask voters to decide during election in either February, May, September or November of given year. If increase is approved, levy rates increase for following year's budget cycle.

8 Estimates – 2006 rates are not officially released until 2/17/06.
### 3. (b.) Response time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>24 Hour</th>
<th>30-Minute</th>
<th>10-Minute</th>
<th>Total Call</th>
<th>Total Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban Staffed</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7:32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Staffed</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8:96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8:02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Staffed</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7:59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Unstaffed</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10:02</td>
<td></td>
<td>10:11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Unstaffed</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10:11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Staffed</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8:47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9:02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Staffed</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8:36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11:43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Staffed</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10:40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Unstaffed</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11:49</td>
<td></td>
<td>12:08</td>
<td>12:08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban Unstaffed</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12:08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Unstaffed</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8:20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11:08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sta 12-1**
- Suburban Staffed | 6 | 5:35 | 6:59 | 12:08 |
- Rural Unstaffed | 12 | 7:37 | 7:02 | 12:33 |
- Rural Staffed | 7 | 6:49 | 7:32 | 12:55 |

**Sta 12-2**
- Rural Unstaffed | 12 | 12:30 | 12:45 | 12:55 |
- Wilderness Unstaffed | 12 | 11:00 | 12:29 | 13:57 |

**Sta 12-3**
- Wilderness Unstaffed | 15 | 12:33 | 13:57 | 15:05 |
- Suburban Unstaffed | 10 | 9:52 | 11:08 |
- Rural Unstaffed | 12 | 12:38 | 13:00 |

### 3. (d.) Equipment.

**EQUIPMENT TYPE/NUMBER AT EACH STATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Ambulance Unit</th>
<th>Brush Truck</th>
<th>Water Tender</th>
<th>Water Rescue</th>
<th>Support Veh</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dist 22</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dist 12</td>
<td></td>
<td>12-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12-2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12-3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dist 20</td>
<td></td>
<td>20-1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tri-District Fire Merger (PCFPD 12, 20, 22)
RCW 52.66 - February 14, 2006
STAFFING/FIRE RATING

| Dist. 22 | 4-1 | 6 | 7 | 8 | Yes | 5 |
| Dist. 22 | 4-2 | 6 | 3 | 4 | Yes | 5 |
| Dist. 22 | 4-3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Yes | 5 |
| Dist. 22 | 4-4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | Yes | 5 |
| Dist. 22 | 4-5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | Yes | 5 |
| Dist. 12 | 12-1 | 4 | 5 | 8 | Yes | 5 |
| Dist. 12 | 12-2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | Yes | 8 |
| Dist. 12 | 12-3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | Yes | 8 |
| Dist 20 | 20-1 | 13 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54 |

Note: All personnel are trained in the use of Automatic External Defibrillators.

3.(g.) Districts 12, 20 and 22 all use Fire Comm in Lakewood for their dispatch service.

C. Environmental

1) Expected impact of the proposal to adjacent roads. **Not applicable.**
2) Expected impact of the proposal on air quality. **Not applicable.**
3) Expected impact of the proposal on the school district. **Not applicable.**
4) Does the area under consideration contain “critical areas” (flood plain, wetland, steep slope, wildlife habitat area, etc.) as defined under the Growth Management Act? **See attached map.**

Attached:
Map 13: Tri-District Merger: Sensitive Areas Map

5. General

A. In case of extensions of services, has an annexation agreement been required? If so, please attach a recorded copy of this agreement. **Not applicable.**

B. Describe the topography and natural boundaries of the proposal.

The King/Pierce Co. border is the northern border of District, the White River is the entire northeastern border, a combination of the Sumner city limits/UGA border, Fire District #1 (Sumner), and the City Limits of Orting comprise the western border of the District, and Fire District 18 (Orting) makes up the majority of the southern border of the District. There are portions of the southern and eastern border that are unprotected wilderness areas so the Fire District boundaries are not based on municipal boundaries in those instances.

PCFPD #22 sets atop the eastern plateau of Pierce County next to the foothills of the Cascade Mountains at an average elevation of 600 feet above sea level. It ranges from forest and agriculture that is sparsely populated to a 2.5-mile stretch of retail commercial businesses. In the center of the northern third of the jurisdiction is the largest body of fresh water in Pierce County – Lake Tapps. Lake Tapps spans an area of 4 miles wide by 6 miles long and has 46 miles of shoreline (2,700 acres). There are nine other smaller lakes scattered throughout the jurisdiction. Only Lake Tapps provides an area large enough for motorized recreation vessels.

Roughly 1/3 of the Tri-District merger area (the majority of District 12) is uninhabited wilderness/forest land. The City of Bonney Lake is the only incorporated city, though there are many subdivisions in unincorporated Pierce Co. within the proposed merger area that are developed to urban standards. In general, Districts 12 and 20, in comparison to District 22, are still largely rural and undeveloped with a large percentage of forested land.
C. How much growth has been projected for this area during the next ten (10) year period? What source is the basis for this projection?

See II.(1.) (A) above – pg. 5

D. Describe any other municipal or community services relevant to this proposal. Not applicable.

E. Describe briefly any delay in implementing service delivery to the area.

There will be no delay to service delivery. The proposed fire district merger will not affect any services other than formerly dissolving Fire District 12 and 20 boundaries and merging those Districts into Fire District 22.

F. Briefly state your evaluation of the present adequacy, cost or rates of service to the area and how you see future needs and costs increasing. Is there any other alternative source available for such service(s)?

The three jurisdictions have been operating in a consolidated environment by contract for several years. For this reason, there will be no delay in implementing service to the community as a result of the proposed merger. Currently the closest apparatus are responding to alarms, regardless of ‘ownership’, and personnel are being assigned to fill station assignments regardless of employer, etc. The consolidation currently in place has significantly improved the level of service to the community, and the proposal to formalize the merger and dissolve the District 12 and 20 boundaries allows the citizens to assure its continuation.

The measurable outcomes of the Tri-District merger have already proven the joint venture a great success. The quantifiable improvements to categories such as response, prevention and incident management, recruiting and training, safety and risk management, executive oversight and administrative services are substantial and support the position that a merger of the three districts will improve efficiency of services and streamline resources. A copy of the “Tri-District Measurable Outcomes” document, dated March 1, 2004, with measurements “Before Agreement” and “Current Status” is attached.

Attached:
EXHIBIT K: “Tri-District Measurable Outcomes”, March 1, 2004

OBJECTIVES

1. Preservation of natural neighborhoods and communities;

A strong emphasis has already been placed on community identity during previous consolidation periods. The combined consolidation is operating under the name East Pierce Fire & Rescue, but station areas have and will remain locally identified, such as the Prairie Ridge station and fire engine(s), the Lake Tapps station, etc. This allows unification and operational efficiency to continue, while preserving local neighborhood identity.

2. Use of physical boundaries, including but not limited to bodies of water, highways and land contours;

The King/Pierce Co. border is the northern border of District, the White River is the entire northeastern border of the District, a combination of the Sumner city limits/UGA border, Fire District #1 (Sumner), and the City Limits of Orting comprise the western border of the District, and Fire District 18 (Orting) makes up the majority of the southern border of the District. There are portions of the southern and eastern border that are unprotected wilderness areas so the Fire District boundaries are not based on municipal boundaries in those instances.

3. Creation and preservation of logical service areas;

The Tri-District Merger concept ensures that the closest fire station or apparatus to emergencies, regardless of jurisdictional boundaries, will respond to an emergency. This function creates a dramatic improvement to emergency response times. Hence, the unification of fire and EMS systems through this proposed merger will not only preserve, but will enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of the fire service area.
4. *Prevention of abnormally irregular boundaries;*

Not applicable; using current District and City boundaries per RCW

5. *Discouragement of multiple incorporations of small cities and encouragement of incorporation of cities in excess of ten thousand population in heavily populated urban areas;*

Not applicable

6. *Dissolution of inactive special purpose districts;*

Not applicable

7. *Adjustment of impractical boundaries;*

Not applicable; using current District and City boundaries per RCW

8. *Incorporation as cities or towns or annexation to cities or towns of unincorporated areas which are urban in character;*

Not applicable

9. *Protection of agricultural and rural lands which are designated for long term productive agricultural and resource use by a comprehensive plan adopted by the county legislative authority.*

Not applicable, no change in land use.
NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MERGE PIERCE COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT #12 AND DISTRICT #20 INTO PIERCE COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT #22
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|             | Ex. F-District 22 Resolution 493 accepting District 20’s Petition for Merger, 11/21/05 |
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Council Agenda Bill (C.A.B.) Approval Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department/Staff Contact:</th>
<th>Council/Wrksph Mtg Date:</th>
<th>Agenda Bill Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PW Director Dan Grigsby</td>
<td>March 21, 2006</td>
<td>AB06-74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ordinance Number:</th>
<th>Resolution Number:</th>
<th>Councilmember Sponsor:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

BUDGET INFORMATION

2006 Budget Amount  Required Expenditure  Impact  Remaining Balance

Explanation:

Agenda Subject:
Presentation of the SR410 and Sumner Buckley Highway Intersection Improvement by the TRANSPO Group.

Administrative Recommendation:
Discuss SR410 and Sumner Buckley Highway Improvements Plan.

Background Summary:
This information is presented to council prior to holding a public meeting on this project on Monday, 10 April 2006, at the Public Safety Building.

Presentation will describe the plans to improve SR410 between 184th Ave and Myers Road. Improvements will also be made on Old Sumner Buckley Highway from SR410 to Locust Ave.

See attached channelization plan for new turning lanes at this intersection.
See attached scope of work in the PUBLIC MEETING NOTICE document. This document will be included in the April Utility Bill to all utility customers in Bonney Lake.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Council Committee Dates:</th>
<th>Commission Dates:</th>
<th>Board/Hearing Examiner Dates:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finance Committee:</td>
<td>Planning Commission:</td>
<td>Park Board:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Safety Committee:</td>
<td>Civil Service Commission:</td>
<td>Hearing Examiner:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Development &amp; Planning Committee:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Workshops: 21 Mar06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Meetings: 8DEC05, 10APR06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Council Action:
Council Call for Hearing:  Council Hearings Date:
Council Referred Back to:  Workshop: Committee:
Council Tabled Until:  Council Meeting Dates:

Signatures:

[Signature]
Mayor
Date City Attorney Reviewed:
PUBLIC MEETING NOTICE

Topic: Improvements to SR410-Old Sumner Buckley Highway Intersection and City Entrance
Location: City of Bonney Lake Public Safety Building
Time: 5:30-7:30 pm, Monday, 10 April 2006
Questions: Call 253-447-4348

The City of Bonney Lake is in the process of designing a construction contract to improve the intersection where SR410 and Old Sumner Buckley Highway (OSBH) connect. Public comments are being sought as part of this design process. Construction will depend on funding. The design scope includes the following:

SR410 between Old Sumner Buckley Highway (OSBH) and Myers Road:
- Add second left-turn lane (eastbound uphill lane) approaching the SBH intersection. This will minimize delay of west bound traffic through lanes.
- Add a sidewalk and bicycle lane on the North side of SR410.

SR410 between 184th and 181st Avenues East:
- Add right-turn lane (westbound) approaching the OSBH intersection on SR410 from the East (next to Dairy Queen). This will eliminate traffic backing up in the two westbound through lanes.
- Add concrete curb, gutter and sidewalks along North and South sides of SR410.
- Prohibit left-in and left-out turns from adjacent parcels.
- Possibly, install a landscaped median. If not, a concrete curb will be installed.

Sumner-Buckley Highway Improvements:
- Add second receiving lane (Northbound) to provide transition from the two SR410 left turn lanes into one lane.
- Add missing segments of curb and sidewalk along northern side of road. Improve curb and gutter and approaches on south side of the road.
- Interconnect synchronize traffic signals at the following intersections:
  1. SR410 at 184th Avenue East
  2. SR410 at Old Sumner Buckley Highway (181st Avenue East)

Other Intersection Improvements:
- SR 410 at OSBH – modify traffic signal system for additional turn lanes; provide two lanes Northbound on OSBH and two right turn lanes Southbound onto SR410; add sidewalk improvements.
- OSBH at 182nd Avenue East – sidewalk improvements; prohibit left-in and left-out turns.
- OSBH at 184th Avenue East – sidewalk improvements.
- SR410 at Myers Road – sidewalk improvements; prohibit left turns out of Myer’s road onto SR410.

Other Improvements:
- Improve the appearance to entrance of the City of Bonney Lake and core business area.
- Improve non-motorized transportation systems (bicycle and pedestrian).
- Improve accessibility to the Pierce Transit Park and Ride lot on 184th Avenue.
- Improve street lighting and landscaping on SR410 and OSBH
- Improve storm water drainage and quality control throughout the project site.
- Add structural retaining walls as needed adjacent to SR410 for new traffic lanes and sidewalks.
- Relocate above ground utilities as needed to new above ground locations.
I. CALL TO ORDER – Mayor Neil Johnson called the meeting to order at 7:02 p.m.

A. Flag Salute - Mayor Johnson led the audience in the Pledge of Allegiance.

B. Roll Call [A1.3]

City Clerk Edvalson called the roll. In addition to Deputy Mayor Swatman, elected officials attending were Councilmember David Bowen, Councilmember Phil DeLeo, Councilmember Mark Hamilton, Councilmember Dave King and Councilmember Cheryle Noble and Councilmember Jim Rackley.

Staff members present were Administrative Services Coordinator Don Morrison, Planning and Community Development Director Bob Leedy, Public Works Director Daniel Grigsby, Interim Police Chief 'Buster' McGehee, Judge James Helbling, City Attorney Jim Dionne and City Clerk Harwood Edvalson.

C. Announcements, Appointments and Presentations [A3.6.9]

1. Announcements:

   a. Mayor Johnson read a proclamation declaring Wednesday, April 12, 2006 as Arbor Day.

2. Appointments:

   a. An Oath of Office was administered to Officer Anthony G. Rice by Judge James Helbling.

3. Presentations: None.

D. Agenda Modifications: None.

II. PUBLIC HEARINGS, CITIZEN COMMENTS & CORRESPONDENCE


B. Citizen Comments:
Keith Stanley, 10900 193rd Ave. E., said at the last Council meeting the Council voted to just study the 192nd Ave. E. Corridor and not include the connection to 189th Ave. E. He began to ask individual Councilmembers why they had voted in support of that position.

Mayor Johnson informed Mr. Stanley that although the Council could respond to his questions if they liked, the Citizen Comment portion of the meeting was not intended to be a Question and Answer session, but rather an opportunity for citizens to make comments or pose questions which the Council might consider and respond to at a later date. City Attorney Dionne added that the Council could respond to his questions if they wanted to do so, but Mr. Stanley’s questions should really be directed to the Council as a whole.

Councilmember Bowen responded, saying he believes 192nd Ave. E. will become a major traffic corridor and side street connections should be minimized to better handle the traffic flow. He said that was his motivation for voting not to connect 189th Ave. E. to 192nd Ave E.

Russ Rudolph, 7515 191st St. E., spoke about errors in his utility bills and the lengths to which he has gone to receive the appropriate adjustments to his bills. He noted that he had been spoken to condescendingly by one employee and politely helped by another. He asked for assistance in getting his bills adjusted correctly.

Mayor Johnson said the staff will look into it right away and provide a response before the end of the week.

Don Sangesand, 5616 195th Pl. E., asked the Council if they had received his emailed comments. He offered copies to anyone interested in his written text. He asked that his letter of March 6th be put on the record. He spoke in protest about the Design Commission process of consideration for the proposed Park Place Condominiums adjacent to Allan Yorke Park and Lake Tapps. He gave his opinion that those involved in the decision process for the project have already planned for the project’s approval. He questioned the adequacy of the streets near the development, as well as other design elements of the project. Mr. Sangesand praised the employees who helped the residents during the February Windstorm, but chided the Councilmembers and Mayor who were out of town at a planning retreat.

Responding to Council’s invitation to address the permitting and consideration process for the Park Place Condominiums, Director Leedy explained that the Design Commission has twice met to consider many facets of the proposed design. He noted that the property has been zoned for high-density residential for years. He offered the possibility of staff coordinating a neighborhood meeting to more fully inform those interested in the proposed private development. He explained that there will be an opportunity for the public to testify at a public hearing before the Hearing Examiner on the Substantial Shoreline Permit associated with this project.

Councilmember Hamilton asked that copies of the traffic mitigation study be shared with the Councilmembers. Director Leedy said the date for the public hearing on the
Substantial Shoreline Development Permit has not yet been set.

Mr. Sangesand expressed displeasure with the way the City has made the public aware of the proposed development. Councilmember King asked if Mr. Sangesand believes a vote is required on every development proposed in the City. Mr. Sangesand responded that he believes the public should have an opportunity to comment on development.

Norm Coates, 10709 181st Ave. E., said he appreciated the sensitivity and concern the Council has exhibited in dealing with the public this evening. He said he feels the City has encroached on his property over the past thirty years by approving development which has impacted his viewshed. He expressed frustration that the City would now be pursuing enforcement of its sign code against his property. He asked if there is some fair compromise which can be reached.

Councilmember Rackley said the Council Community Development Committee would consider the issues and see if there is a compromise position. Deputy Mayor Swatman questioned why such a process should be entertained. He said he believes the majority of Councilmembers and Planning Commissioners have made it clear that neither body wishes to reconsider the elements of the sign code. Councilmember King said he believes it inappropriate for a Council committee to negotiate on an enforcement issue which is currently pending.

Mayor Johnson said he and Director Leedy would speak with Mr. Coates about the issue and report back to the Council.

Marilee Hill-Anderson, Starr Project Director, reminded the Council of the upcoming 13th Annual Summit on March 30th at Bonney Lake High School. She said a Town Hall meeting on underage drinking will start at 3:30 p.m. She thanked Mayor Johnson and Chief McGehee for their participation in the event planning. She invited the participation of the Council and staff and urged immediate registration before the dinner capacity is reached. She distributed materials promoting the event.

Councilmember DeLeo suggested that the event could be used to collect surplus cell phones for use in local domestic violence programs.

Dan Decker, 20401 70th St. E., spoke in favor of the Park Place Condominium Project as an improvement over the existing duplexes. He urged the Council to consider allowing a six-story building to allow for underground parking which could provide additional parking for Allan Yorke Park. He said the upscale project could be an enhancement to the City, providing residential density and needed parking. He agreed with Mr. Sangesand that another traffic lane could be used in that area.

At 8:01 p.m., Councilmember Rackley moved that the Council take a ten minute break in the meeting. Councilmember King seconded the motion.

Motion approved 7 – 0.
At 8:15 p.m., Mayor Johnson brought the meeting back to order.

C. **Correspondence:** None.

---

**III. COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS:**

[A3.6.4]

A. **Finance Committee**
   Deputy Mayor Swatman said the Finance Committee met earlier in the evening and discussed three items. He said the first was a staff recommendation to pull Resolution 1544 dealing with employment background checks for further work by the City Attorney. The second item was a proposed contract for an EIS on the Fennel Creek Trail. The third item was a proposed change in the residency requirements of the Civil Service Secretary and Examiner to more closely conform to current state law.

B. **Community Development Committee**
   Councilmember Rackley said the Committee met on March 6th. He listed six items which had been forwarded to the Council meeting for consideration on the current agenda. He said that five were on the Consent Agenda and one was under the Community Development Issues section of the agenda.

C. **Public Safety Committee**
   Councilmember DeLeo said the Public Safety Committee met on March 9th and forwarded a recommendation on a contract for distribution of the Boating Safety Program revenues.

D. **Other Reports:**

   Councilmember Noble noted that she and Mayor Johnson attended the March 3rd meeting of the Lake Bonney Conservation Association. She reported that the new president is Dana McCauley. She added that the Association would be discussing new ideas for the Council to consider regarding conservation efforts on the lake.

   Councilmember King notified the Council that he will not be in attendance at the March 21st Council Workshop. He said his employment will take him out-of-town the entire week. He asked that he be excused from the meeting.

   Councilmember Rackley reported that he, Councilmember Hamilton and Director Grigsby met with the Rhodes Lake Road Leadership Team. He said the estimate from that meeting for improvements to the Rhodes Lake Road Corridor is for completion in 2015.

   Councilmember Noble informed the Council that she and Mayor Johnson had
attended the kick-off event for the Relay for Life. She said the main event will be held August 11th-12th.

IV. CONSENT AGENDA: [A3.6]

Councilmember DeLeo asked that items D – I be pulled from the Consent Agenda and placed as items A – F in Council Agenda Section VIII. He noted further that Item J should be pulled at the Administration’s request.
Deputy Mayor Swatman moved to approve Consent Agenda Items A – C and K - M. Councilmember Rackley seconded the motion.

A. Checks/Vouchers: Accounts payable checks/voucher #43201 thru 43296 and wire transfer #3012006 in the amount of $436,131.40. Accounts payable checks/voucher #43297 thru #43380 for utility refunds in the amount of $5,995.87. [F4.9]

B. Payroll: Payroll for February 16-28, 2006 for checks 24662-24709, including Deposits and Electronic Transfers in the amount of $373,176.79. [F4.9]


D. AB06-32 — Resolution 1534 — A Resolution Of The City Council Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing The Mayor To Sign An Agreement With Pierce County For The Boating Safety Program Revenues. [A3.8.3]

E. AB06-49 — Ordinance 1183 — An Ordinance Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Relating To The Installation Of Manufactured Homes Inside Mobile Home Parks Within The City Of Bonney Lake. [A3.5.5]

F. AB06-36 — Resolution 1536 — A Resolution Of The City Council Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing An Agreement With Cascade Design Collaborative For IAC Grant Application Assistance. [O3.10]


H. AB06-45 — Resolution 1541 — A Resolution Of The City Council Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing An Agreement With Water & Wastewater Automation, LLC For The SCADA System Upgrade. [O4.3]

I. AB06-54 — Resolution 1543 — A Resolution Of The City Council Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing An Agreement With All Points Media To Display Anti-Tobacco Posters At Allan Yorke Park’s Skateboard Park On Behalf Of The State Department Of Health. [O5.9]

J. AB06-55 — Resolution 1544 — Authorizing A Client Services Agreement With Pinnacle Investigations For Pre-Employment Background Checks. [A4.7]
K. **AB06-46** – A Motion Of The City Council Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Accepting the Stump Grinding Project Performed By West Coast Stump Grinding As Complete. [A3.6.10] [04.14.1]

L. **AB06-47** – A Motion Of The City Council Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Accepting the Cedarview Park Concrete Sidewalk Project Performed By Aggregate Construction As Complete. [A3.6.10] [04.11.2]

M. **AB06-48** – A Motion Of The City Council Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Accepting The Peaking Storage House Demolition Project By WM. Dickson Co. As Complete. [A3.6.10] [04.10.1]

Motion approved 7 – 0.

V. **FINANCE COMMITTEE ISSUES:**

A. **AB06-67 – Resolution 1545** – A Resolution Of The City Council Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing A Personal Services Agreement With Tetra Tech EC, Inc. For Preparation Of An Environmental Impact Statement For The Fennel Creek Trail Plan. [05.10]

Councilmember Rackley moved to approve Resolution 1545. Deputy Mayor Swatman seconded the motion.

Motion approved 7 – 0.

VI. **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE ISSUES:**


Councilmember Rackley moved to approve Resolution 1542. Councilmember Hamilton seconded the motion.

Councilmember Rackley asked Director Grigsby to comment on a recent meeting regarding service boundaries at the north end of Lake Tapps. Director Grigsby reported that he met with representatives of adjacent jurisdictions to discuss the boundary issues and propose a technical solution to the boundary issues in that area. He said they will meet next week to discuss recommendations.

Motion approved 5 – 2. Deputy Mayor Swatman and Councilmember Noble voted no.
VII. PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE ISSUES: None.

VIII. FULL COUNCIL ISSUES:

A. **AB06-32 – Resolution 1534** - A Resolution Of The City Council Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing The Mayor To Sign An Agreement With Pierce County For The Boating Safety Program Revenues. [01.8.3]

Councilmember King moved to approve Resolution 1534. Councilmember Noble seconded the motion.

Councilmember DeLeo noted that this agreement authorizes the disbursement of over $12,000 to the City for use in its Boating Safety Program.

Motion approved 7 – 0.

B. **AB06-49 – Ordinance 1183** – An Ordinance Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Relating To The Installation Of Manufactured Homes Inside Mobile Home Parks Within The City Of Bonney Lake. [A3.5.5]

Councilmember Noble moved to adopt Ordinance 1183. Deputy Mayor Swatman seconded the motion.

City Attorney Dionne said that he has presented Council this evening with an alternative which uses existing state law to define which manufactured homes will be accepted in the City’s mobile home parks. He said the 1976 HUD standards describe health safety improvements to the design of manufactured homes that can be used for the City’s regulation purposes.

Councilmember DeLeo moved to amend Ordinance 1183 by substituting in the language of the proposed ordinance by the City Attorney. Councilmember Rackley seconded the motion.

Motion to amend approved 7 – 0.
Amended motion approved 7 – 0.

C. **AB06-36 – Resolution 1536** - A Resolution Of The City Council Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing An Agreement With Cascade Design Collaborative For IAC Grant Application Assistance. [05.10]

Councilmember Rackley moved to approve Resolution 1536. Councilmember Hamilton seconded the motion.

Director Grigsby explained that the contractor will be used to help the City apply for IAC grants. Councilmember DeLeo asked if there is a time limit for the agreement. Director Grigsby noted that the grant application...
deadline is rapidly approaching and the contract is to help them meet this deadline.

**Motion approved 7 – 0.**

D. **AB06-37 – Resolution 1537** – A Resolution Of The City Council Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing An Agreement With Bruce Dees & Associates For IAC Grant Application Assistance. [05.10]

Councilmember Rackley moved to approve Resolution 1537. Councilmember Noble seconded the motion.

Councilmember DeLeo asked about the apparent change in contract amount between the agreement and the Agenda Bill. Councilmember Rackley said there had been an error in the tabulation of the scope of work.

**Motion approved 7 – 0.**

E. **AB06-45 – Resolution 1541** – A Resolution Of The City Council Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing An Agreement With Water & Wastewater Automation, LLC For The SCADA System Upgrade. [04.3]

Councilmember Rackley moved to approve Resolution 1541. Councilmember Hamilton seconded the motion.

Deputy Mayor Swatman said the next time a similar agreement comes before the Council, he would like to see all the projected costs for the project included. He noted there will be additional expense for the City to purchase the software and hardware necessary for the installation of the upgrade. Councilmembers had additional questions about the provisions of the agreement. Mayor Johnson said the Community Development Committee will be provided additional information on the equipment which the City will be required to purchase.

**Motion approved 7 – 0.**

F. **AB06-54 – Resolution 1543** – A Resolution Of The City Council Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing An Agreement With All Points Media To Display Anti-Tobacco Posters At Allan Yorke Park’s Skateboard Park On Behalf Of The State Department Of Health. [05.9]

Councilmember Noble moved to approve Resolution 1543. Councilmember Noble seconded the motion.

Based earlier discussion related to the sign code, Councilmember King questioned whether the proposed signs or banners would violate the City’s code. Other Councilmembers questioned the agreement’s provisions for the City to maintain the signs during the contract period. Councilmember Noble pointed out that the
agreement provides the City $300 to mount and maintain the signs during the period of the agreement. Director Leedy pointed out that if the signs are not visible from a public street then they are technically not a sign according to the Municipal Code.

**Councilmember Rackley moved to table the proposed Resolution.**

Motion to table failed 3 – 4. Deputy Mayor Swatman and Councilmembers DeLeo, King and Noble voted no.


**IX. EXECUTIVE SESSION:** Mayor Johnson announced that pursuant to RCW42.30.110(g) the City Council would meet for ten minutes in executive session to review the performance of a public employee. The session started at 8:43 p.m. and concluded at 8:54 p.m.

**X. ADJOURNMENT**

At 8:54 p.m., Councilmember Rackley moved to adjourn the meeting. Councilmember Noble seconded the motion.

Motion approved 7 – 0.

Harwood T. Edvalson, CMC  
City Clerk

Mayor Neil Johnson, Jr.

Documents submitted for or at the Council Meeting of March 14, 2006:

- Bonney Lake Resident – *Email to Mayor Johnson, Councilmembers and Elizabeth Chamberlain – Dated March 10, 2006* – Don Sangesand.
- Bonney Lake Resident – *Bonney Lake City Council Meeting Remarks – Dated: 3-14-06* – Don Sangesand.
- City of Bonney Lake – *Ordinance 1183 – James Dionne, City Attorney.*
- City of Bonney Lake – *Boating Safety Program Agreement – Arthur W. McGehee, Interim Police Chief*
Call to Order:
Mayor Neil Johnson called the March 7th Council Workshop to order at 5:36 p.m.

Roll Call:
Also in attendance were Deputy Mayor Dan Swatman, Councilmember Phil DeLeo, Councilmember Mark Hamilton, Councilmember Dave King and Councilmember Cheryle Noble, Councilmember Jim Rackley. Councilmember David Bowen joined the meeting in progress just before 7:00 p.m.

Staff members attending were Administrative Services Coordinator (ASC) Don Morrison, Public Works Director Dan Grigsby, Planning and Community Development Director Bob Leedy, Interim Police Chief Buster McGehee, Interim Finance Director Joe McGovern, Senior Human Resources Analyst Jennifer Young, City Attorney Jim Dionne and City Clerk Harwood Edvalson.

Agenda Items:

1. Presentation: MapInfo-Thompson, Consultants for Economic Development Analysis.

MapInfo Representatives, Nathaniel Lobban and Patricia Formosa, introduced the City Council to the MarketSuite program offered by MapInfo for economic development and retail market analysis. Mr. Lobban said his firm can help the City meet of some of today’s challenges such as downtown revitalization, retail leakage and dealing with dynamic consumer needs and trends. Mr. Lobban said that Home Depot is one of their longest standing clients.

Ms. Formosa said she will spend time in the City to become acquainted with the retail climate and conditions. She said their effort will be to determine what the areas consumer habits are and what national/regional retailers will be compatible. Mr. Lobban and Ms. Formosa emphasized that MapInfo would be interested in a long-term relationship to assist the City with its economic development efforts.

The consultants responded to the Council’s questions. Mayor Johnson noted that the Council would be interested in a proposal. He said the City will prepare an RFP and send it to MapInfo.

At 6:23 p.m., Mayor Johnson called for a five minute break in the meeting in response to Councilmember Rackley’s request. The meeting resumed at 6:39 p.m.

2. Tabled from 2/28/06 Council Meeting: AB06-20 – Resolution 1526 - A Resolution Of The City Council Of The City Of Bonney Lake, Pierce County, Washington, Authorizing An Agreement With The Buxton Company For A Community Identification And Retail Attraction Study.

There was a consensus of the Council to table this item until other proposals are received in
response to the City’s RFP.

3. Department Reports.

Director Grigsby summarized a written memo provided earlier to the Council. He addressed the following topics:
- Bonney Lake Sanitary Sewer line break at Orting Hwy and 7208 Riverside Drive; and
- SR410-Old Sumner Buckley Intersection Improvement Project; and
- 17 February Wind Storm Emergency Response and Recovery Plan; and
- Sewer, Water and Transportation Comprehensive Plans; and
- Property Acquisition; and
- Ongoing focus work of the Public Works Department.

Councilmember DeLeo asked if the street light map that is being prepared is being prioritized using input from the Public Safety Committee. Director Grigsby said the department asked the Police Department for input on specific intersections and stretches of roadway that need illumination. He said once the Council has an opportunity to comment on the plan, the list would be made available to the public.

Director Leedy mentioned the following issues originating with the Department of Planning and Community Development:
- An RFQ for downtown design work; and
- A contract for environmental work on the Fennel Creek Trail; and
- The CTED Short-course on Planning to be offered in Bonney Lake; and
- Staff turnover.

Councilmember King asked for an update on excessive tree-clearing incidents following the recent wind storm and any associated enforcement actions. He also asked Director Leedy to provide a status update on the enforcement issues related to the abandoned house next to the Bonney Lake Auto Parts Store. Councilmember Hamilton asked if the City had an arborist available to the general public for consultation. Director Leedy said this is not a service the City provides.

Interim Director McGovern introduced himself to the Council. He noted that this was his first opportunity to attend a Council workshop. He noted that the Finance Department has good employees. He added that a full presentation on the amended budget will be given at the next Council workshop. He reported that as a conclusion to the recruitment process, several of the temporary employees in the department have been replaced with regular employees.

Councilmember Rackley asked about the recruitment process to hire a new chief financial officer. Administrative Services Coordinator Morrison said the recruitment is moving forward with background checks and final interviews. Councilmember King thanked those involved in the revisions for the upcoming budget amendments. He expressed hope for a better budget and future process.

Interim Chief McGehee reported on the following:
- Start-up of a volunteer program; and
- Start of new Bonney Lake Officer, Anthony Rice; and
- Graduation from the Police Academy for Todd Morrow.
Councilmember DeLeo thanked Chief McGehee for the additional information on meth labs on the City's website. He asked for additional information on how citizens can identify meth labs and report them to the authorities. Addressing the City's website, Councilmember King praised this tool for the public, and asked that the departments make an effort to update the information on each page. Mayor Johnson said the staff will work with Information Services Coordinator McEwen to maintain the website on a regular basis.

Administrative Services Coordinator Morrison said the foundation work has begun on the Senior Center addition. He said it will take 2-3 weeks for the foundation to cure and then the framing will start. Responding to Councilmember Rackley's question about additional parking, ASC Morrison said the staff is reviewing Councilmember DeLeo's suggestion to carve some additional parking out of the center island in front of City Hall. ASC Morrison reminded the City Council that it is time once again to submit items for the City newsletter. He added that he hopes to have the amended budget to the Council for the next workshop. Councilmember King thanked the staff for their quick response to the citizen suggestions for emergency preparedness information on the City website.


Natches Terrace Sewer Connection Fees. Councilmember Rackley mentioned that he will be meeting in a couple of weeks with members of the Natches Terrace Homeowners Association. He suggested allowing the group immediately impacted by the sewer connection fees in this development to be able to pay their obligations over a period of 3-4 years. The Council discussed this proposal. There was a majority consensus of the Council to look into a one-time exception for this unusual circumstance. City Attorney Dionne said his office will draft up the appropriate language for consideration at the next workshop.

Relay-for-Life. Councilmember Noble noted that Bonney Lake residents are becoming more involved in the Relay-for-Life. She said the kick-off for the event will begin at 6:00 p.m. on March 9th at the Calvary Community Church in Sumner. She added that the Relay itself will be on August 11 – 12.

Steep Slopes. Deputy Mayor Swatman asked about the Planning Commission's work on the steep slopes issue. He mentioned that Planning Commission Chairman McKibben had expressed concern that the process was dragging. Director Leedy said he was unaware of the Commission's concern, but would work with them to push along those portions that can be quickly enacted. Councilmember King questioned whether a moratorium is needed for public health and safety concerns. City Attorney Dionne said that may be a valid course of action for the Council, but suggested he check into the actual facts and work with the Planning Department on this issue. Councilmember Noble spoke in favor of moving forward with those elements of the proposed legislation which can be acted upon soon.

192nd Ave. E. Corridor Design. Deputy Mayor Swatman suggested that the consultants surveying private property for the design of the 192nd Ave. E. Corridor use discretion and obtain permission when contemplating work on private property. Director Grigsby offered to send a letter from the Mayor to property owners in the area advising them of the City's intent in that area.

Dog Control Ordinance. Councilmember DeLeo requested that the staff consider the North Bend ordinance related to dog control. Councilmember King also mentioned the Auburn ordinance. City Attorney Dionne said his office had been reluctant to address this issue without Council support. Councilmember Bowen asked if there is a serious problem in the City. He said he is
philosophically opposed to labeling a specific breed as dangerous. City Attorney Dionne said part of the problem may be that animal control coverage is minimal when people are generally home in the evenings and on weekends. Councilmember King said the City may need to work on its animal control, but the Council should not be precipitous in adopting an ordinance. Councilmember Rackley suggested the Public Safety Committee study the issue first.

5. Review of Minutes from February 7th City Council Workshop and February 14th City Council Meeting, February 17th-18th Special Meeting, February 21st Council Workshop, February 27th Special Meeting and February 28th Council Meeting.

The City Council had no suggested corrections to these meeting minutes.

6. Discussion: AB06-49 – Ordinance 1183 – Manufactured Homes in Mobile Home Parks.

City Attorney Dionne said this proposed ordinance was prepared to draw out the Council’s intent on this legislation. He said he is concerned that a ten-year old limit on the age of manufactured homes to be located in mobile home parks is only a little more defensible than the current ordinance. He suggested another alternative is to wait and see if the state legislature enacts a solution. He noted that it appears the legislature has failed to act for a second year on this issue. He suggested other criteria for placement of manufactured homes could be used rather than a set number of years. There was some Council discussion on the impact of the current ordinance, with a majority consensus to move the proposed ordinance for Council action at the next Council meeting.

7, 8, 9. Discussion: AB06-44 – Ordinance 1182 – Streets Capital Improvement Fund; and AB06-51 – Ordinance 1184 – General Government Capital Improvement Fund; and AB06-52 – Ordinance 1185 – Parks Capital Improvement Fund.

Deputy Mayor SWATMAN said the Finance Committee has already reviewed the basis for these proposed ordinances. Interim Director McGovern said the proposed funds would consolidate the City’s capital projects into three major areas. He referenced a handout which diagrams the consolidation of several fund components into the newly proposed funds. ASC Morrison explained how the revenues and project funds will be accounted for within the overall fund structure. He noted the Council will determine how much of the discretionary portions of the Real Estate Excise Tax will go into the various funds. Councilmember King thanked the staff for watching over the City’s restricted accounts. He expressed hope that these changes can be easily incorporated within the current structure of the City’s Eden Financial Software.

10. Executive Session

Mayor Johnson noted that there are no topics for an executive session this evening.

11. Adjournment

At 7:47 p.m., Councilmember Rackley moved to adjourn the meeting. Deputy Mayor SWATMAN seconded the motion.

Motion approved 7 – 0.
Documents submitted for/at the Council Workshop of March 7, 2006:

- City of Bonney Lake – *Changes in Fund Structure: Ordinances 1182, 1184 and 1185* – Don Morrison, Administrative Services Director.